1 2 STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS 3 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - X In the Matter of 4 5 NEWBURGH CHICKEN 197 South Plank Road, Newburgh 6 Section 60; Block 3; Lot 6.1 B Zone 7 JAMES PURPURA 8 32 North Fostertown Drive, Newburgh Section 17; Block 2; Lot 59 9 R-2 Zone 10 - - - - - - - - X 11 12 Date: March 28, 2024 Time: 7:00 p.m. 13 Town of Newburgh Place: Town Hall 1496 Route 300 14 Newburgh, New York 15 16 17 BOARD MEMBERS: DARRIN SCALZO, Chairman JAMES EBERHART, JR. 18 GREG HERMANCE JOHN MASTEN 19 JAMES POLITI DONNA REIN 20 21 ALSO PRESENT: DAVID DONOVAN, ESQ. JOSEPH MATTINA 22 - - - - - - - - X 23 MICHELLE L. CONERO 24 Court Reporter Michelleconero@hotmail.com 25 (845)541 - 4163

2 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I'd like to 3 call the meeting of the Zoning Board of Appeals to order. The order of 4 5 business this evening are the public hearings which have been scheduled. 6 7 The procedure of the Board is that 8 the applicant will be called upon to 9 step forward, state their request and 10 explain why it should be granted. 11 The Board will then ask the applicant 12 any questions it may have, and then 13 any questions or comments from the 14 public will be entertained. The 15 Board will then consider the applications 16 and will try to render a decision this 17 evening but may take up to 62 days to 18 reach a determination. 19 I would ask that if you have a 20 cellphone, to please turn it off or 21 put it on silent. When speaking, speak 22 directly into the microphone as this is 23 being recorded by our stenographer. 24 Roll call. James Politi.

25 MR. POLITI: Here.

1

1 2 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: James Eberhart. 3 MR. EBERHART: Present. 4 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Greg Hermance. 5 MR. HERMANCE: Present. CHAIRMAN SCALZO: 6 John Masten. 7 MR. MASTEN: Yes. 8 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Donna Rein. 9 MS. REIN: Here. 10 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Also with us this evening is Dave Donovan, our 11 12 legal Counsel; we have Joe Mattina 13 from Code Compliance; and Michelle 14 Conero, our Stenographer. 15 If you would all please rise 16 for the Pledge. 17 (Pledge of Allegiance.) CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Absent from 18 19 the meeting are Siobhan, our trusted 20 secretary who keeps it all together. 21 You'll have to excuse me this evening, 22 I'm not as good as her with the 23 organization. Also missing is Mr. Bell. 24 Mr. Bell can't be here this evening. 25 Both of them held it up while I was

2 out in January and February. I am3 convinced this is payback.

1

4 Before we really get started, 5 there are a few alterations to the 6 agenda this evening. We have held 7 over from last month for other Board 8 business, James Purpura, 32 North Fostertown Drive. He has asked to be 9 10 deferred to next month because he 11 would like a full compliment of Board 12 Members here to vote. We will be 13 hearing Mr. Purpura's revote next month.

14Also Newburgh Chicken, the current15Dairy Queen site, they have asked for a16deferment as well.

17 If anyone is here for Newburgh
18 Chicken/Popeyes or Purpura, they will
19 not be addressed this evening. They
20 will be on next month.

Nobody is getting up to leave.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I want
to know what's happening over there.
CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I thought I
saw Lynn Warren here. You might want

1 2 to tell him what I just mentioned 3 about Purpura being pushed to next 4 month. 5 (Time noted: 7:04 p.m.) 6 7 CERTIFICATION 8 9 I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public 10 for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: 11 12 That hereinbefore set forth is a true 13 record of the proceedings. 14 I further certify that I am not 15 related to any of the parties to this 16 proceeding by blood or by marriage and that 17 I am in no way interested in the outcome of 18 this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto 19 20 set my hand this 12th day of April 2024. 21 22 23 MICHELLE CONERO 24 25

1			
2	STATE OF NEW YO TOWN OF NEWBURGH		
3	In the Matter of		X
4			
5	VINC	ENT DELGAD	
6			
7	133 Coach Lane, Newburgh Section 58; Block 6; Lot 30 R-2 Zone		; Lot 30
8		K-2 2011e	X
9			A
10		Date:	March 28, 2024
11		Place:	7:04 p.m. Town of Newburgh Town Hall
12			1496 Route 300 Newburgh, New York
13			Newburgh, New 101K
14			
15	BOARD MEMBERS:		SCALZO, Chairman BERHART, JR.
16		GREG HEI JOHN MAS	RMANCE
17		JAMES PO DONNA RI	OLITI
18		DONNA RI	5 T IV
19	ALSO PRESENT:		ONOVAN, ESQ.
20	JOSEPH MATTIN 20		
21	APPLICANT'S REPRI	ESENTATIVE	: VINCENT DELGADO
22			
23	 MT		X
24	MICHELLE L. CONERO Court Reporter Michelleconero@hotmail.com		orter
25	1.11C1161	(845) 541-4	

2 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Our first 3 applicant this evening is Vincent 4 Delgado, 133 Coach Lane in Newburgh, 5 seeking an area variance of, A, 6 increasing the degree of nonconformity 7 of the rear yard to build a 10 by 5 8 rear deck (the permit application is from 2016); and B, area variances of 9 10 the minimum front yard setback and increasing the degree of nonconformity 11 12 of the rear yard to build an 18 by 24 foot addition. 13 14 Siobhan assures me that all 15 the mailings are in order for this 16 application. 17 Who do we have this evening 18 for this application? Please step 19 forward and state your name for the 20 record. 21 MR. DELGADO: Vincent Delgado. 22 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Okay, Mr. Delgado. 23 If I have captured everything that you 24 want to talk about in that short 25 statement that I just read, then we

2	can just go ahead and ask the Board
3	some questions. If you would like to
4	add some flavor to that, please.
5	MR. DELGADO: On the deck, I
6	just bought the house with the deck.
7	I'm trying to get that closed, a CO
8	for that, in order to do the addition.
9	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Very good.
10	Actually, I saw that in the
11	application, you bought the house
12	with the deck already installed.
13	Counsel, there was one other
14	concern regarding that.
15	MR. DONOVAN: Yes. So the
16	application for the 10 by 5 year deck
17	I guess goes back eight years. That's
18	a fresh denial, so to speak, with the
19	new application. The reason I ask
20	that question is that the law requires
21	you appeal a denial within sixty days.
22	I'm not really good in math, but I
23	think 2016 is more than sixty days ago.
24	MR. DELGADO: I had gone there
25	with that application when the Building

2	Department was right next door and spoke
3	to Joan. She's no longer there. I don't
4	know whatever happened to that.
5	MR. DONOVAN: I'm sorry to put you
6	on the spot, Joe. Effectively, just
7	so we cover our administrative bases,
8	this application for the 10 by 5
9	deck, originally denied in 2016,
10	would also be denied with the new
11	application which is from January 2024?
12	MR. MATTINA: We can do them
13	under the same one.
14	MR. DONOVAN: Okay. That's good
15	news for you.
16	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We're obliged
17	by our positions here on the Zoning
18	Board of Appeals to go out and take a
19	look at all of these properties that
20	are here this evening.
21	I was out at your place Tuesday. I
22	happened to notice right across the
23	street from you, your neighbor put
24	quite a substantial addition on his
25	house.

1

2 MR. DELGADO: Which one? 3 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: If you pulled 4 out of your driveway and drove into 5 the house across the street. That can't be the original size of the 6 7 house. It's not shaped like you're 8 proposing. 9 MR. DELGADO: It's on the end. 10 Yes. 11 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: You're also 12 burdened with having two front yards 13 because you're on a corner. MR. DELGADO: Right. 14 15 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: When realtors 16 say it's a corner lot, I don't 17 understand the appeal of that. From 18 my position here, it always ends up 19 being more restrictive, which in your 20 case it is. 21 Observation-wise, Meadow Hill 22 has been around since the '60s. Most 23 of the houses are the same size. 24 People have made modifications to 25 suit their style of living.

2 I also read in your application 3 that this is for your mother or 4 mother-in-law. 5 MR. DELGADO: Possibly. 6 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: God bless 7 you, sir. 8 MR. DELGADO: Really because I 9 want a four-car garage. If I've got 10 to put a roof on, I might as well 11 just go up and extend it to make a 12 fourth bedroom for my mother-in-law. 13 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: You're the 14 best. 15 MR. DELGADO: This way she's 16 self-contained. That's my wife. 17 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I didn't 18 realize she was here. I got caught. 19 I would love if my mother-in-law 20 lived with me. 21 MR. DELGADO: If you have young 22 kids, it's a good babysitter. 23 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Everybody 24 gets so wound up coming here, but 25 it's not like that.

1 Vincent Delgado 2 Anyway, my observations, I just 3 stated them. 4 What I'm going to do at this 5 point, unless you have something more 6 to add, I'm going to poll the Board 7 for any comments they may have and 8 then open it up to the public. Ιs 9 there anything else you want to add? 10 MR. DELGADO: No. 11 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: You're standing 12 there. If they have questions, you're 13 the quy to ask. 14 MR. DELGADO: Okay. 15 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Or perhaps 16 your mother-in-law. 17 Mr. Politi, do you have any 18 questions or comments regarding this 19 application? 20 MR. POLITI: You answered them. 21 The garage space you're pushing out. 22 It's 18 feet? 23 MR. DELGADO: Yes. 24 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Four in, two 25 deep, is that what I'm to understand?

1 Vincent Delgado 2 MR. DELGADO: It will be --3 both sides will be tandem. 4 MR. POLITI: The other question 5 was what the upper floor was going to be used for. You answered that with 6 7 your mother-in-law. We went through 8 that. Those answered my questions. CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Jim's in-laws 9 10 live in a totally different house. Mr. Eberhart, do you have any 11 12 questions regarding this? 13 MR. EBERHART: I feel for you. 14 I have some questions, but I'm kind 15 of in the same situation. I had my mother-in-law --16 17 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: So you're

18 sympathetic?

19 MR. EBERHART: I'm with you.

20 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Are you

21 talking about the cars or the family22 members? Okay.

23 Mr. Hermance, do you have24 anything?

25 MR. HERMANCE: No. That

1	Vincent Delgado
2	answered my questions.
3	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I missed the
4	last two meetings. I figured I'd up
5	my game.
6	Mr. Masten?
7	MR. MASTEN: I was there. I
8	know the property and stuff in that
9	area because I helped put the gas in
10	them.
11	I didn't see a sign around the
12	property at all.
13	MR. DELGADO: It came off. We've
14	had tremendous winds. It did come off.
15	I don't know where it went.
16	MR. MASTEN: Probably in the woods
17	in the back.
18	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Yours isn't the
19	only one. I saw the sticks up on
20	another one. We did have heavy winds
21	there last week. By law it's
22	supposed to remain posted until the
23	public hearing has been closed.
24	MR. DELGADO: Okay.
25	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: It was posted

1 Vincent Delgado 2 initially. 3 MR. DELGADO: I've got a picture 4 that I posted it. 5 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We saw the 6 pictures. 7 Ms. Rein? 8 MS. REIN: Leave his mother-in-law 9 alone. 10 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Yes, ma'am. MS. REIN: I have no questions. 11 12 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Nothing about 13 the short form environmental? 14 MS. REIN: Is it a Type 2? 15 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: It's a Type 2 16 action. 17 MS. REIN: No. 18 MR. DONOVAN: Yes, Mr. Chairman, 19 this is a Type 2 action. 20 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We'll get to 21 that later, Counsel. At this time I'd like to open 22 23 it up to any members of the public 24 that would like to speak about this 25 application.

1 Vincent Delgado 2 Mr. Delgado, you can sit right there, if anybody else is going to 3 4 come up. 5 Is there anybody from the public that wishes to speak about 6 7 this application? 8 MR. DELGADO: The people the 9 letters were sent to. 10 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: It can be 11 anybody in the room. We actually do 12 have some folks that just come here 13 because they like to see what we do. 14 MR. DELGADO: Okay. 15 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: They'll ask 16 questions about the applications. 17 (No response.) 18 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Hearing no 19 comments from the public, I'll make a 20 motion to close the public hearing. 21 MR. MASTEN: I'll make a motion 22 to close the public hearing. 23 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: You can do 24 it, too. 25 MS. REIN: I'll second.

2	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We have a
3	motion from Mr. Masten. We have a
4	second from Ms. Rein. All in favor?
5	MR. POLITI: Aye.
6	MR. EBERHART: Aye.
7	MR. HERMANCE: Aye.
8	MR. MASTEN: Aye.
9	MS. REIN: Aye.
10	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Aye.
11	Those opposed?
12	(No response.)
13	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Very good.
14	In this case, this is a Type 2
15	action under SEQRA.
16	We're going to move through our
17	criteria, the area variance criteria,
18	and discuss the five factors we're
19	weighing, the first one being whether
20	or not the benefit can be achieved by
21	other means feasible to the applicant.
22	For the benefit he's seeking, I'm not
23	so sure.
24	Actually, Joe Mattina, help me
25	out here. He's looking for a four-

2	car garage which would keep four cars
3	in his, basically, house. That would
4	save him from violating other types
5	of code violations. Am I correct?
6	MR. MATTINA: You can have
7	storage of up to four vehicles. He's
8	right at the limit.
9	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: All right.
10	Thank you.
11	Second, if there's an undesirable
12	change in the neighborhood character
13	or a detriment to nearby properties.
14	MR. POLITI: No.
15	MR. EBERHART: No.
16	MR. HERMANCE: No.
17	MR. MASTEN: No.
18	MS. REIN: No.
19	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: No.
20	Third, whether the request is
21	substantial. By the numbers it is.
22	As I mentioned, he's got two front
23	yards. If you were to look at the
24	front yard from Coach, I believe it
25	is the one that dead ends

1 Vincent Delgado 2 MR. DELGADO: Saddle. 3 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Saddle. 4 Thank you. He would meet the side 5 yard if you look at the front of his 6 house. 7 Anyway, Mr. Politi, do you have 8 comments? 9 MR. POLITI: I'm good. 10 MR. EBERHART: No. 11 MR. HERMANCE: No. 12 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Masten? 13 MR. MASTEN: No. 14 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Ms. Rein? 15 MS. REIN: No. 16 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: The fourth, 17 whether the request will have adverse 18 physical or environmental effects. 19 MR. POLITI: No. 20 MR. EBERHART: No. 21 MR. HERMANCE: No. 22 MR. MASTEN: No. 23 MS. REIN: No. 24 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: It does not 25 appear so.

2	The fifth, whether the alleged
3	difficulty self-created, which is
4	relevant but not determinative. Of
5	course it's self-created. As far as
6	its relevance, we can move through that.
7	Any comments before we move through
8	the next step here?
9	(No response.)
10	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: If the Board
11	approves, it shall grant the minimum
12	variance necessary and may impose
13	reasonable conditions on this.
14	Having gone through the balancing
15	tests, not the act but the tests, of
16	the area variance, does the Board
17	have a motion of some sort?
18	MS. REIN: I'll make a motion
19	to approve.
20	MR. MASTEN: I'll second it.
21	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We have a
22	motion for approval from Ms. Rein.
23	We have a second from Mr. Masten.
24	I'm going to roll on that.
25	Mr. Politi?

2 MR. POLITI: Yes. 3 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Eberhart? 4 MR. EBERHART: Yes. 5 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Hermance? MR. HERMANCE: Yes. 6 7 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Masten? 8 MR. MASTEN: Yes. CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Ms. Rein? 9 MS. REIN: Yes. 10 11 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I am affirmative 12 as well. 13 The variances are approved. Good luck, sir. 14 15 MR. DELGADO: Thank you. 16 MR. DONOVAN: Mr. Chairman, if 17 I could. In an effort to be thorough, 18 Mr. Delgado had asked for a use 19 variance and an interpretation of the 20 ordinance for a special permit. 21 You really only need an area 22 variance. Even though your application 23 indicates a use variance and an interpretation for a special permit, 24 25 you didn't need those. Correct?

1 Vincent Delgado CHAIRMAN SCALZO: You wanted to cover all the bases. MR. DONOVAN: Just so we're clear for the record, you got an area variance. That's all you needed. MR. DELGADO: Better to have and not need than to need and not have. (Time noted: 7:17 p.m.) 

1	Vincent Delgado
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public
7	for and within the State of New York, do
8	hereby certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not
12	related to any of the parties to this
13	proceeding by blood or by marriage and that
14	I am in no way interested in the outcome of
15	this matter.
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
17	set my hand this 12th day of April 2024.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	MICHELLE CONERO
23	MICHELLE CONERO
24	
25	

1 2 STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS 3 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - X In the Matter of 4 5 ANNA PIOTROWSKI, M.D. 6 175 North Plank Road, Newburgh 7 Section 39; Block 1; Lot 57 B Zone 8 - - - - - - - - - - - X 9 10 Date: March 28, 2024 7:17 p.m. Town of Newburgh 11 Time: Place: 12 Town Hall 1496 Route 300 13 Newburgh, New York 14 15 DARRIN SCALZO, Chairman BOARD MEMBERS: JAMES EBERHART, JR. 16 GREG HERMANCE JOHN MASTEN 17 JAMES POLITI DONNA REIN 18 19 ALSO PRESENT: DAVID DONOVAN, ESQ. JOSEPH MATTINA 20 21 APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: ANNA PIOTROWSKI 22 \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ X 23 MICHELLE L. CONERO Court Reporter 24 Michelleconero@hotmail.com (845)541 - 416325

Anna Piotrowski, M.D.

1

2 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Moving on to 3 the next applicant, Anna Piotrowski, 4 175 North Plank Road, seeking an area 5 variance of the front yard to a State road to rebuild an existing nonconforming 6 7 single-family dwelling unit with a new 8 second story addition. Siobhan has assured me that all 9 10 the mailings are in order for this as 11 well. 12 Who do we have with us? I'm Anna 13 MS. PIOTROWSKI: 14 Piotrowski. I'm the property manager 15 for my mother who is here. 16 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Very good. If 17 I have captured just about everything, 18 and we've seen the property. 19 Pardon me, Joe. I know you said 20 the plans, or at least some of the 21 plans, typically are given to Siobhan. 22 She didn't include them in our packages. 23 Let me back up. If there's 24 anything that you would like to add 25 to that very short description, feel

1	Anna Piotrowski, M.D.
2	free. If I have captured it well
3	enough for you
4	MS. PIOTROWSKI: I have a house
5	next door. I would like to get rid
6	of the mobile home that's abandoned.
7	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I understand.
8	Let me go to your offset from the
9	State highway is a preexisting
10	nonconforming condition.
11	MS. PIOTROWSKI: Yes. My
12	father owned the property for thirty
13	something years. It was a rental a
14	long time ago. Long time.
15	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I see that
16	place very often. I really like the
17	brick.
18	MS. PIOTROWSKI: I want to save
19	the brick.
20	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Very good.
21	You just answered
22	MS. PIOTROWSKI: I want to save
23	that really pretty brick.
24	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: The minimum
25	is 60 for the front yard. The

1 Anna Piotrowski, M.D.

2 proposed is 36.6 feet, which you'll 3 need a 23.4 variance. Because I 4 haven't seen a set of plans, I'm 5 assuming the 36 -- well, I did see 6 the survey. The survey indicates 7 that your current offset is 40.6. Mv 8 assumption, help me out, is that 9 additional 4 feet that you're increasing it is because you're going 10 11 to put on a 4-foot front porch? 12 MS. PIOTROWSKI: T would like 13 to. 14 MR. MATTINA: That's it. 15 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I'm smart 16 like that sometimes. I'm straight 17 with that now. I have no other 18 questions. 19 I'm going to start at the other 20 end of the table. Ms. Rein, do you 21 have any questions regarding this? 22 MS. REIN: Yes. Dr. Piotrowski, 23 it says on the second page --24 MS. PIOTROWSKI: The doctor is 25 my mother. I'm just the property

1 Anna Piotrowski, M.D.

manager.

2

3 MS. REIN: On the second page, on C, it says, "The existing first 4 5 floor and the foundation will not be changed," and then you go in a couple 6 7 of pages and it says there's going to be a renovation on the first floor of 8 the building along with the second --9 10 MS. PIOTROWSKI: Demo work. 11 The roof had collapsed in. They just 12 want to get rid of all of that. 13 MS. REIN: That's going to be 14 done on the --15 MS. PIOTROWSKI: That brick. I 16 want that brick to stay. 17 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: On all four 18 sides? 19 MS. PIOTROWSKI: Yes, please. 20 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Really you're 21 going up but you're not going out. 22 MS. PIOTROWSKI: No. Plans 23 would have really helped. 24 MR. MATTINA: They're going out 4 foot in the front. 25

1 Anna Piotrowski, M.D. 2 MS. PIOTROWSKI: I want to save 3 it, please. 4 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Very good. 5 Ms. Rein? 6 MS. REIN: I'm good. 7 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Masten? 8 MR. MASTEN: I have nothing. 9 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Very good. 10 Mr. Hermance? MR. HERMANCE: Are there any 11 12 historical restrictions --13 MS. PIOTROWSKI: No. 14 MR. HERMANCE: -- for this 15 homestead --16 MS. PIOTROWSKI: No. 17 MR. HERMANCE: -- that would 18 prevent you from changing --19 MS. PIOTROWSKI: None. I live 20 next door, too, so I prefer it to stay a residence. 21 22 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Eberhart? 23 MR. EBERHART: No questions. CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Politi? 24 25 MR. POLITI: I'm good.

1 Anna Piotrowski, M.D.

2	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: You and I	
3	discussed this. I believe our	
4	questions have been answered.	
5	MR. POLITI: I spoke to Joe	
6	earlier. He had it going up.	
7	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Very good.	
8	Hold everything. Just in case	
9	somebody wants to talk, you may have	
10	to answer a question or three.	
11	In this case, if there is	
12	anyone from the public that wishes to	
13	comment on this application, please	
14	step forward.	
15	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I can't	
16	hear. Is the microphone on? When	
17	someone they're facing you, but I	
18	don't understand what they're saying.	
19	MS. PIOTROWSKI: Maybe it's not	
20	loud.	
21	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: If you don't	
22	mind, I'm not can you hear me fine?	
23	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.	
24	Absolutely.	
25	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I really project.	

1 Anna Piotrowski, M.D.

2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's the 3 people that are facing that way when 4 they're speaking. Maybe the mic 5 isn't on. CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Thank you. 6 7 That's wonderful to know. Further 8 applicants, I will make sure they're 9 speaking very close to the microphone 10 so the members of the public can 11 hear. If you'd like to 12 MS. REIN: 13 move up here, we have seats. 14 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: You can sit 15 in the front row. 16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I just 17 wondered if that was on. 18 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Very good. 19 All right. Does anyone from 20 the public have any comments regarding this application? 21 22 (No response.) 23 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: It does not 24 appear so. 25 I will make a motion to close

1 Anna Piotrowski, M.D. 2 the public hearing. 3 MR. MASTEN: I'll second it. 4 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We have a 5 motion from me and we have a second from Mr. Masten. All in favor? 6 7 MR. POLITI: Aye. 8 MR. EBERHART: Aye. 9 MR. HERMANCE: Aye. 10 MR. MASTEN: Aye. 11 MS. REIN: Aye. 12 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Aye. 13 Those opposed? 14 (No response.) 15 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Very good. 16 This is a Type 2 action under SEQRA? 17 MR. DONOVAN: Correct, Mr. Chairman. 18 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We're also going 19 to run through the variance criteria 20 and discuss the five factors we are 21 weighing. 22 The first one, whether or not the benefit can be achieved by other means 23 24 feasible to the applicant. In this case, 25 absolutely not. It was a preexisting

1	Anna Piotrowski, M.D.
2	nonconforming condition.
3	Second, if there's an undesirable
4	change in the neighborhood character
5	or a detriment to nearby properties.
6	I think quite the contrary. With the
7	removal of the trailer in the back,
8	as well as just updating the home so
9	it's habitable, would be beneficial.
10	Third, whether the request is
11	substantial. Again, it's preexisting
12	nonconforming, except for the porch.
13	The fourth, whether the request
14	will have adverse physical or
15	environmental effects. It appears not.
16	The fifth, whether the alleged
17	difficulty is self-created, which is
18	relevant but not determinative. The
19	only difficulty that is self-created
20	is the porch addition. Other than
21	that, the home is exactly where the
22	home has been.
23	I kind of commanded that whole
24	conversation. Did anybody want to

25 stop me along the way?

1 Anna Piotrowski, M.D. 2 MS. REIN: No. 3 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Very good. 4 Having gone through the balancing 5 tests of the area variance, does the Board have a motion of some sort? 6 7 MR. POLITI: I'll make a motion 8 to approve. 9 MR. EBERHART: Second. 10 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We have a motion for approval from Mr. Politi. 11 12 We have a second from Mr. Eberhart. 13 I'm going to roll on that from the other side of the table. 14 15 Ms. Rein? 16 MS. REIN: Yes. 17 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Masten? 18 MR. MASTEN: Yes. CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Hermance? 19 20 MR. HERMANCE: Yes. 21 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Eberhart? 22 MR. EBERHART: Yes. 23 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Politi? 24 MR. POLITI: Yes. 25 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I am

Anna Piotrowski, M.D. 1 2 affirmative as well. 3 Very good. The variances are 4 approved. You're all set. 5 MS. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you. 6 (Time noted: 7:24 p.m.) 7 8 CERTIFICATION 9 10 I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public 11 for and within the State of New York, do 12 hereby certify: 13 That hereinbefore set forth is a true 14 record of the proceedings. 15 I further certify that I am not 16 related to any of the parties to this 17 proceeding by blood or by marriage and that 18 I am in no way interested in the outcome of 19 this matter. 20 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 12th day of April 2024. 21 22 23

1		
2		RK : COUNTY OF ORANGE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
3		X
4	In the Matter of	
5	NEMDIDCU CO	UTH CONGREGATION
6		H'S WITNESSES
7		itain Road, Newburgh Block 3; Lot 13
8		O Zones
9		X
10	Т	Date: March 28, 2024
11		Time: 7:24 p.m. Place: Town of Newburgh
12		Town Hall 1496 Route 300
13		Newburgh, New York
14		
15	BOARD MEMBERS: I	DARRIN SCALZO, Chairman
16		JAMES EBERHART, JR. GREG HERMANCE
17		JOHN MASTEN
18		JAMES POLITI DONNA REIN
19		
20		DAVID DONOVAN, ESQ. JOSEPH MATTINA
21		NEADINE TOOL MOODIIN
22	AFFLICANI'S KEFKESE	NTATIVE: JOSH MOGDLIN
23	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	X Elle L. CONERO
24	Cou	art Reporter conero@hotmail.com
25		15) 541-4163

1 Newburgh South Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses 2 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Our next applicant 3 is Newburgh South Congregation of 4 Jehovah's Witnesses, 33 Old Little 5 Britain Road, seeking a use variance to install two 19.25 square foot illuminated 6 7 monument signs and four 2.7 square foot 8 wall-mounted signs. Siobhan assures me that all the 9 10 mailings are in order for this application. 11 Who do we have with us this evening? 12 MR. MOGDLIN: Good evening. My name is Josh Mogdlin. I'm representing 13 14 the Newburgh South Congregation of 15 Jehovah's Witnesses. Thank you for the 16 opportunity. 17 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: This is our 18 sign code. Sometimes it leaves us 19 scratching our heads. This is one of 20 those instances. 21 MS. REIN: Is this just signage? 22 There was so much going on with the 23 paperwork. 24 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: This is just 25 signage. Ms. Rein, the issue here,

1 Newburgh South Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses 2 and Mr. Mattina properly categorized 3 it, because of the way the code reads, it is a use variance. 4 5 I'm not sure if you're aware of 6 the four criteria that you need to 7 satisfy for a use variance. In my 8 time, ten years here on the Board, I think I've seen three use variances 9 approved. It's not like the old 10 11 song, two out of three ain't bad. 12 You need to satisfy all of the 13 criteria, one of them being a dollars 14 and cents -- help me out, Counsel. 15 MR. DONOVAN: You need to prove 16 by financial evidence that you can't 17 realize -- it's a little interesting 18 for the church, but you can't realize 19 any reasonable economic return on 20 your investment in the property 21 unless you have the signs. 22 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Perhaps if 23 you were creative --24 MR. MOGDLIN: I -- I'm sorry. 25 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: If you're

1 Newburgh South Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses 2 creative. People need to know where 3 to go. If they can't contribute, 4 maybe that's the burden. I'm not sure. 5 MR. MOGDLIN: I think the other 6 option was we were trying to identify 7 if this was more an interpretation. 8 MR. DONOVAN: Very good. The 9 application, Mr. Chairman -- even 10 though this indicates a use variance 11 on our agenda, the application made 12 was an interpretation. 13 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Very good. 14 Make your MR. DONOVAN: 15 argument on the interpretation. 16 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: The floor is 17 yours, however, I would like you to 18 grab that microphone and go stand by 19 Mr. Politi so the people in the back can hear you. You can face them 20 21 because we'll probably be able to 22 hear you just fine. 23 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It comes 24 with aging. 25 MR. MOGDLIN: Can you hear?

1 Newburgh South Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses 2 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I just retired. Ι 3 completely understand what you mean. 4 MR. MOGDLIN: As was mentioned 5 on the paperwork, we are requesting a variance for the total sign area as 6 7 well as illumination. 8 This property is in the R-3, 9 but also with the professional office 10 overlay within R-3 under Section --11 let me get the code right. I am 12 getting older, too. Section 185-14 13 L(1) subparagraph A, that is the only 14 sign code for R-3. It is for home 15 occupancy. It states total square 16 That is the only sign code feet. 17 that's available. Throughout all of 18 the code, there is no code specific 19 for a place of worship or for a 20 professional office overlay. Any 21 other business within this overlay 22 corridor would run into the same 23 challenge. 24 In addition, we do have a place 25 of worship, which is a little bit

1 Newburgh South Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses 2 different. We are along a corridor 3 with two other churches within this 4 corridor within 300 feet. They have 5 signs. Some of them are internally 6 illuminated and much bigger than the 7 square footage. 8 We did look through the code. The best we could find was Section 9 185-14 K subparagraph 2, which wasn't

10 11 applicable either, but it was our 12 conservative assumption, and that's 13 why we're going with the sign of 14 19.25 square feet, because that says 15 the maximum is 20 square feet. We 16 have no code in there to really 17 define our use within the entire 18 zoning ordinance.

19 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Thank you for 20 pointing that out. The comprehensive 21 plan is being updated currently by 22 the Town of Newburgh. Actually, 23 there's a meeting Tuesday. Perhaps 24 this is something that can be brought 25 to the attention of the Comprehensive

1 Newburgh South Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses 2 Committee, which you just told one 3 member right now. 4 MR. MOGDLIN: Beautiful. 5 That was one of MR. MATTINA: 6 my requirements when I put in my 7 sheet to the Comprehensive Plan Committee, that it did not address 8 9 places of worship. They're aware of 10 it. 11 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Thank you, 12 Mr. Mattina. Counsel, I'm kind of at a loss 13 14 for words, which is unusual for me. 15 Help me out. 16 MR. DONOVAN: The interpretation 17 that you're seeking is relative to 18 the size of the sign. Do I understand 19 that correctly? 20 MR. MOGDLIN: Total sign area 21 as well as illumination. 22 MR. DONOVAN: Before we get there, 23 there needs to be a determination as 24 to whether or not the sign is permitted, 25 which is the more difficult burden.

1 Newburgh South Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses 2 In the overlay you're allowed -- a 3 professional use gets assigned a 4 maximum of 4 square feet. 5 Do I have that right, Joe? 6 MR. MATTINA: Yes. 7 MR. DONOVAN: Not to lead the 8 witness, is there anything that you 9 would want to argue that the use of 10 the property is a professional use? 11 MR. MOGDLIN: No. 12 MR. DONOVAN: Okay. CHAIRMAN SCALZO: You tried. 13 14 MR. DONOVAN: I did. 15 MR. MOGDLIN: Let me rephrase 16 that. 17 If I can, what MR. DONOVAN: 18 you're allowed to do, as I read the 19 code, if you're a professional use, 20 and I don't know if that's defined 21 anywhere, but a professional use 22 would be entitled to an identification 23 sign of a certain size. If the Board 24 deems that you're a professional use, 25 then the next question would be is

1 Newburgh South Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses the Board interested in granting an 2 3 area variance for the size of the 4 signs proposed. 5 MS. REIN: And the illumination. And the illumination 6 MR. DONOVAN: 7 as well, Joe? 8 MR. MATTINA: There's no regulation because it's not listed. 9 10 MR. DONOVAN: You're absolutely 11 correct. 12 So you first have to decide whether or not this falls within the 13 14 parameters of a professional use, 15 and, if so, if you would be inclined 16 to give the variance for the size and 17 the illumination. 18 MR. MOGDLIN: If I can add, we're 19 not commercial and we're not residential. We are unique. We would be the closest 20 21 to a professional use according to the 22 interpretation. 23 This is one sign? I MS. REIN: 24 thought it was multiple. 25 MR. MOGDLIN: So we prefer to

Newburgh South Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses 1 2 have one monument sign. The Planning 3 Board wanted entry walls on each side 4 of our driveway. Instead of adding 5 one monument sign in addition to those entry walls, our intent is to 6 7 include a sign area on each entry 8 wall. It is two signs, but it's so 9 as to reduce adding another monument 10 sign. 11 MS. REIN: We're talking about 12 three signs, one on each side --13 MR. MOGDLIN: So two on the --14 right at the entrance there are two 15 entry walls. Those were required by 16 the Planning Board. We agreed to 17 them. If you see how close they are, 18 putting a monument sign near that as 19 well would become -- it would kind of 20 mess up the aesthetics, it wouldn't 21 look as pleasing, thereby we're 22 putting a sign on each side. Whether 23 they're coming from the east or the 24 west, they can identify this is the 25 location and safely exit to the street.

1 Newburgh South Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses 2 MS. REIN: Where will the monumental 3 sign be? 4 There would be none. MR. MOGDLIN: 5 It would be added to the actual entry wall. 6 I don't know if you can see it. 7 8 These were also included in the 9 package. Hopefully you got those. 10 That would actually be the entry wall, but with the sign attached to 11 12 that entry wall. 13 MS. REIN: Will all the signs be illuminated? 14 15 MR. MOGDLIN: The two entry 16 walls will have one down light per 17 entry wall. 18 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Nothing 19 behind it? 20 MR. MOGDLIN: Nothing --21 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: It's not 22 backlit? 23 MR. MOGDLIN: They're not 24 illuminated from the back. Correct. 25 MS. REIN: Darrin, I think

1 Newburgh South Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses 2 there was a letter from a concerned 3 person. They didn't exactly say what 4 property they were pertaining to, but 5 when you read the letter and you look 6 at everything that we're looking at 7 today, the Jehovah's Witnesses' site 8 is the only site that would compare to that. They had a problem with all 9 10 of the signs and the lights. CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Directly 11 12 across the street is the Baptist church, which these -- I'm probably 13 14 not going to say this the right way, 15 but these are, I'll say, less 16 illuminated than the church across 17 the street. My having seen these 18 before, there's one right over on 19 Gardnertown for the condo complex. 20 You can see it's inset right into the 21 wall. You almost miss the sign 22 because you're appreciating the wall. 23 MS. REIN: A precedence has 24 already been set then? 25 MR. DONOVAN: Joe, I keep

1	Newburgh South Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses
2	putting you on the spot. The one
3	across the street was built at a time
4	that predates the ordinance?
5	MR. MATTINA: Right. It was
6	the prior sign code. If it was
7	applied for today, they would be
8	here, the same situation.
9	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: They just did
10	a replacement.
11	I need some guidance here, Counsel.
12	MR. DONOVAN: I don't see a
13	definition of professional in the code.
14	I think you may want to be careful with
15	that, though. I don't know whether
16	the Board is inclined to say it's a
17	professional use. I think if you
18	don't say that, though, you can't get
19	past go.
20	MS. REIN: To say it's a
21	professional use would change the tax
22	codes. Right?
23	MR. DONOVAN: I think that's a
24	separate issue that the assessor
25	makes a determination based if

1 Newburgh South Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses 2 you're talking about a religious real 3 property tax exemption, that's based 4 upon assessment criteria, not on the 5 site. 6 MR. EBERHART: How are we defining 7 professional use? MR. DONOVAN: 8 Well MR. MOGDLIN: It's not a residence. 9 10 MR. DONOVAN: It's not a commercial 11 Jim, I don't know. You have a use. 12 situation where you have a use that's 13 allowed. The use is allowed. They've 14 gone through the Planning Board 15 process to get the use. The sign 16 ordinance just doesn't address it. 17 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We have a 18 flaw in our code, which it comes down 19 to, which we are trying to overcome 20 with the Comprehensive Committee. 21 MR. DONOVAN: What I'm suggesting 22 may be a reach, but it's the only way 23 that I can think of to accommodate 24 the sign, which otherwise appears not 25 to be permitted and would require a

1 Newburgh South Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses 2 use variance, which you're not going 3 t.o --4 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: It's merely 5 impossible. MR. DONOVAN: I think it is 6 7 impossible. You're not going to be able to meet the criteria. 8 9 MS. REIN: Darrin, what was 10 that other Board that you were 11 talking about that you were on? 12 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: The 13 Comprehensive Plan Committee. 14 This is going to go MS. REIN: 15 to them also? 16 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: The Comprehensive 17 Plan Committee is ten, twelve, fifteen, 18 twenty years when they revise the code. 19 That's what we're going through right 20 now. 21 MR. DONOVAN: How that process 22 works, the Comprehensive Plan Committee 23 will do its work over a period of time. 24 When they finish the work, they'll make 25 recommendations to the Town Board. The

1 Newburgh South Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses 2 Town Board will undertake them as, if 3 and when they have the time to do 4 That requires a public hearing, that. 5 SEORA review. The point I'm trying to make is it's not an expeditious 6 7 process. 8 MS. REIN: We should not hold this over and have them address it? 9 10 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: No. It's certainly -- there's going to be no 11 12 resolution -- I can't see that 13 happening for six months minimum. 14 MS. REIN: Okay. How do we get 15 past the professional problem? 16 MR. DONOVAN: I'm not that 17 familiar with the church. Do you 18 have a pastor for the congregation? 19 MR. MOGDLIN: There's no 20 parsonage on the site. There is a group of individuals who are assigned 21 22 to presentations, lectures. 23 MR. DONOVAN: Are those people 24 trained? 25 MR. MOGDLIN: They are trained.

1 Newburgh South Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses 2 MR. DONOVAN: Do they have a 3 certification or do they need to go 4 through some sort of special --5 MR. MOGDLIN: Every five years. 6 MR. DONOVAN: -- some sort of 7 professional review that authorizes 8 them to give their -- I go to the Catholic church. 9 There's ongoing 10 MR. MOGDLIN: 11 school every five years. They are 12 the ones who shepherd, who provide 13 the training. Every six months 14 they're reviewed and certified using 15 the scriptures. They don't receive, 16 like, a paper certification but they 17 are --18 MR. DONOVAN: Would these folks 19 have any other job? Are they compensated? 20 MR. MOGDLIN: They're not compensated. 21 They're volunteers. 22 MS. REIN: Will any of the 23 parishioners live there? 24 MR. MOGDLIN: No. There's no. 25 parsonage there. It's for parishioners.

1 Newburgh South Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses 2 Does incorporation MR. POLITI: 3 play anything? You're incorporated, 4 it's a business? 5 MR. MOGDLIN: Correct. There 6 is a corporation that holds the 7 title, and, of course, handles the 8 maintenance and operation. That is 9 the Newburgh congregation itself. 10 Newburgh South Congregation of 11 Jehovah's Witnesses, they identify 12 the proxy that I'm representing them 13 for, and thereby you have a group of --14 MR. DONOVAN: Would it be a 15 religious corporation formed under 16 the Religious Corporation Law? 17 MR. MOGDLIN: Is it 503 --18 MR. DONOVAN: 503(c). That's a 19 tax status, not necessarily a legal 20 status. Let me just take a peek. 21 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Counsel, how 22 about this. Obviously this is 23 leaving us all scratching our heads. 24 I don't want to have to act on this 25 tonight based on what we're hearing

1	Newburgh South Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses
2	and following the code.
3	Let me ask well, Donna,
4	you've been very good about asking
5	questions.
6	Mr. Masten, do you have any
7	questions regarding this application?
8	MR. MASTEN: Not at this time.
9	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: That's fine.
10	Mr. Hermance?
11	MR. HERMANCE: No.
12	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Eberhart?
13	MR. EBERHART: No. I'm, in my
14	mind, trying to figure in some way
15	how to stretch
16	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Careful when
17	you say that.
18	MR. EBERHART: The definition
19	of professional. Right?
20	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Sure.
21	Mr. Politi?
22	MR. POLITI: The same. I'm
23	trying to get a definition.
24	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Are there any
25	members of the public here that wish

1	Newburgh South Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses
2	to speak about this application?
3	(No response.)
4	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Okay. It
5	appears not. In this case I believe
6	we can close the public hearing.
7	MR. DONOVAN: Do you want him
8	to put something in writing?
9	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Actually, I
10	would. Counsel, I'm going to ask you
11	to phrase it for me.
12	MR. DONOVAN: So perhaps it
13	would be helpful to the Board if you
14	were able to provide some sort of
15	letter, written documentation,
16	written proof to the Board that
17	demonstrates that the use could be
18	considered a professional use under
19	the code because there's a corporate
20	ownership, it's filed as a
21	corporation, wherever it may be
22	filed, the people that lead the
23	congregation are trained and they
24	have to be it's not a formal
25	certification, they have to be

55

s

1 Newburgh South Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses 2 qualified in order to -- whatever the 3 correct terminology is, to coordinate 4 or conduct the service, something 5 along those lines, which would assist 6 you in the interpretation. If you 7 can be deemed a professional use, 8 then you've just crossed your biggest hurdle. 9 10 MR. MOGDLIN: Thank you. Thank 11 you for helping clarify. I was 12 thinking the fact that it didn't exist would be easier. You're 13 14 looking at an actual area variance? 15 MR. DONOVAN: The area variance 16 criteria is much, much, much simpler 17 than the use variance. 18 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Having said 19 that, and there are no members of the 20 public that wish to speak on this 21 application, let's do one step at a 22 time here. 23 Counsel, do you see any issues 24 with me looking to close the public 25 hearing?

1 Newburgh South Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses 2 MR. DONOVAN: The only issue is that would start a clock. Because 3 4 this is an Unlisted action, we need 5 to do SEQRA. You can, but --CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I see where 6 7 you're going. Thank you very much. 8 In this case perhaps we should 9 leave the public hearing open, --10 MR. DONOVAN: T would. 11 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: -- that way 12 the clock does not start ticking. 13 MR. DONOVAN: Let's say there's 14 someone who couldn't make it, there's 15 an additional submission to the 16 Board, it gives the public an 17 opportunity to read it and comment on 18 it. 19 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Thank you. 20 In that case I will look to the 21 Board for a motion to keep the public 22 hearing open. 23 MR. MASTEN: I'll make a motion 24 to keep the public hearing open. MS. REIN: I'll second it. 25

1 Newburgh South Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses 2 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We have a 3 motion from Mr. Masten. We have a 4 second from Ms. Rein. All in favor? 5 MR. POLITI: Aye. 6 MR. EBERHART: Aye. 7 MR. HERMANCE: Aye. 8 MR. MASTEN: Aye. 9 MS. REIN: Aye. 10 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Aye. 11 Those opposed? 12 (No response.) CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Very good. I 13 14 think you know where we're headed --15 or where we think you should head. I 16 need to rephrase that. 17 Absolutely. I MR. MOGDLIN: 18 will deliver that to the same place 19 we delivered the applications. We'll 20 get that in well before the next 21 public hearing of the Zoning Board of 22 Appeals. 23 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: The fourth 24 Thursday of the month. 25 MR. DONOVAN: You don't have to

1	Newburgh South Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses
2	do any additional mailings. This is
3	kept open. If you could get it in
4	is it two weeks before the meeting?
5	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Yes.
6	MR. DONOVAN: Get it in two
7	weeks before the meeting.
8	MR. MOGDLIN: We'll give you
9	plenty of time.
10	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Ten days to
11	two weeks.
12	MR. MOGDLIN: Thank you.
13	
14	(Time noted: 7:45 p.m.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Newburgh South Congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses CERTIFICATION I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That hereinbefore set forth is a true record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this proceeding by blood or by marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 12th day of April 2024. MICHELLE CONERO 

1						
2	STATE OF NEW YO TOWN OF NEWBURGH					
3	In the Matter of		X			
4	III CHE Matter Or					
5	STEV	EN MOREAU	ſ			
6						
7	50 Old South Section 52; B					
8	1	( I 20116				
9			X			
10		Dato.	March 28, 2024			
11		Time: Place:	7:45 p.m.			
12		ridee.	Town Hall 1496 Route 300			
13			Newburgh, New York			
14						
15	BOARD MEMBERS:		SCALZO, Chairman BERHART, JR.			
16		GREG HEI JOHN MAS	RMANCE			
17		JAMES PO DONNA RI	OLITI			
18		DOWNA IN	117			
19	ALSO PRESENT:	DAVID DO JOSEPH I	ONOVAN, ESQ.			
20		UUSEIII I				
21	APPLICANT'S REPRE	SENTATIVE	: JONATHAN MILLEN			
22	ATTICANT 5 NETRE	JUNIAI I V D	. CONTINAN MILLEN			
23			X			
24	MICHELLE L. CONERO Court Reporter Michelleconero@hotmail.com					
25		(845) 541-4				

CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Our next 2 3 applicant is Steven Moreau, 50 Old 4 South Plank Road in Newburgh. This 5 is a Planning Board referral for area 6 variances of lot area, front yard, 7 rear yard, side yard and minimum lot 8 depth for lot number 13; minimum lot 9 area, front yard, rear yard, side 10 yard, combined side yards, minimum 11 lot width, lot depth and maximum 12 building coverage and lot surface 13 coverage for lot number 12 to 14 construct a single-family residence 15 on a nonconforming lot. The initial 16 appearance for this application was 17 October 26, 2023. 18 Siobhan assured me that the 19 mailings are in order for this 20 application. 21 Did I miss any variances with 22 that mouthful? 23 MR. MILLEN: No. 24 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Very good. I 25 know you, but others don't. If you

2	could just please introduce
3	yourselves. If I haven't captured
4	what it is that we're looking for
5	you're back. You were here way back
6	when. I was extremely happy to see
7	you come back this way. You
8	recognized the ability to get past
9	the house without having to step on
10	an adjoining lot. Quite honestly, it
11	appears as though you certainly made
12	improvements to the lot size from
13	what you had initially come in with.
14	I know it's a rebuild, although it's
15	a rebuild from it had been
16	demolished, I don't want to say many
17	years ago.
18	Mr. Millen, is there anything
19	that you'd like to add, or Mr.
20	Moreau, to what I just said?
21	MR. MILLEN: No, other than we
22	appeared on the 26th of October.
23	There were some comments from the
24	public and concerns regarding the
25	ability to get around the house from

2

either side.

3 I should say that virtually every lot within proximity to this 4 5 does not meet the zoning requirements 6 in any fashion. We're really not 7 asking for anything that all the 8 other lots haven't already gotten, 9 particularly because right now the 10 40,000 square foot zone is somewhat 11 absurd relative to the neighborhood. 12 With all that being said, the 13 applicant had a lot line change made 14 in order to create a much bigger 15 buffer around the house. Other than that, all the zoning requirements 16 17 were minimized a small amount as a 18 result of that. 19 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Thank you. 20 We also recognize that the applicant 21 is keeping the home further away from 22 an adjoining dwelling on the lake. 23 They're not trying to creep up any

24 further. We recognize that as well.

25 That is a rendering of what

1 Steven Moreau 2 you're looking to do? 3 MR. MOREAU: Yes. 4 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Like I say, 5 emergency access around it, we appreciate that you considered that. 6 7 I don't have any other comments 8 myself. 9 I'm going to jump down to Mr. 10 Politi. Do you have any? 11 MR. POLITI: The only comment is that that's what we asked for. 12 We 13 asked for that access around the 14 building. 15 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Eberhart? 16 MR. EBERHART: No questions. 17 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Like I say, 18 when you were here before, I didn't 19 realize it was going to come back as quickly as it did. Very nice. 20 21 Mr. Hermance? 22 MR. HERMANCE: I think he 23 satisfied all of our prior concerns. 24 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Very good. 25 Thank you.

2	Mr. Masten?
3	MR. MASTEN: No.
4	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Ms. Rein?
5	MS. REIN: I'm good.
6	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: At this time
7	I'm going to open it up to any
8	members of the public that wish to
9	speak about this application on Old
10	South Plank Road. Is there anyone
11	here that wishes to discuss that?
12	MR. BOCKEMUHL: I would.
13	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Please step
14	forward.
15	MR. BOCKEMUHL: Do I need to
16	state my name?
17	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Yes, sir.
18	MR. BOCKEMUHL: My name is
ŦŬ	
19	Alfred Bockemuhl and I live at 35 Old
-	Alfred Bockemuhl and I live at 35 Old South Plank Road. It's basically
19	
19 20	South Plank Road. It's basically
19 20 21	South Plank Road. It's basically across the street.
19 20 21 22	South Plank Road. It's basically across the street. One comment before I get into

```
1 Steven Moreau
```

2	that into the thought process. Maybe
3	you can answer this question. I
4	think if you no longer have a use
5	after twelve months, or is it
6	eighteen months, that you no longer
7	have the ability to have that use as
8	a rebuild. Correct?
9	MR. MATTINA: That's if it's
10	noncompliant for a use. That would
11	not apply.
12	MR. BOCKEMUHL: I'm not
13	applying it here, but more as a
14	matter of record because there are
15	other properties in the neighborhood
16	that are problematic.
17	My questions are, because you
18	listed all of those variances,
19	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: There's a
20	boat load of them.
21	MR. BOCKEMUHL: can we maybe
22	understand, you're moving the
23	property line. Right?
24	MR. MILLEN: So what happened
25	was well, keep in mind that

2	virtually none of the lots meet any
3	of the zoning requirements,
4	regardless of what it may be.
5	Prior to that, there was a very
6	limited amount of room to get around
7	the house. We expanded the lot line
8	to the south, took away from the lot
9	to the south in order to create a
10	much bigger area around the house.
11	Other than that, everything is the
12	same. All the criteria involved in
13	the zoning, which we didn't meet
14	before, it still doesn't meet. Some
15	of it is mildly better than it was
16	before.
17	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Bockemuhl,
18	if I can point out, and since you
19	have the plan in front of you, the
20	lot that's shaded in blue, the side
21	yard distance from the lot that
22	currently has a dwelling on it has
23	been reduced to 28.3 feet. By them
24	moving the property line to
25	accommodate this future structure

created that because it's 38 with
side yard requirements. They
actually created that need for a
variance by moving the property line
to I don't know if you picked up
on that.
MR. BOCKEMUHL: I completely
understand. I completely understand
what they're doing. I just want to
make sure for the people in the
audience, that they understand.
You're taking from the larger lot a
little bit of property and adding it
to the smaller lot to make both lots
more attractive. That's my opinion.
CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I'm not sure
if you were here when the applicant
was here the first time. The
concerns of this Board were I
believe the footprint that they are
proposing remained the same, however,
thinking from an emergency services
standpoint, how to get around that if
adjoiners chose to put up a fence.

2	That was our primary that's what I
3	recall as being our primary concern.
4	The applicants came in here with a
5	revised plan with 404 square feet
6	added to that lot now just to
7	accommodate that.
8	We're all also aware that
9	Orange Lake is a very unique area
10	that, you know, everything started
11	off as a summer cottage, so nothing
12	meets the standards not nothing.
13	Unless you buy the lot that's next to
14	you, then you would meet the side
15	yard.
16	MR. BOCKEMUHL: That's one way
17	to solve the problem.
18	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I apologize
19	for cutting you off, Mr. Bockemuhl.
20	That's the one thing I just wasn't
21	aware if you realized or not.
22	MR. BOCKEMUHL: Again, I
23	understood.
24	So it's clear, I'm in favor of
25	what the applicant has done. I just

1

Steven	М	0	r	е	а	u
--------	---	---	---	---	---	---

2 wanted to make sure, for everyone 3 else's benefit in the audience, that 4 they understood what was happening. 5 Thank you. CHAIRMAN SCALZO: 6 Thank you. 7 Is there anyone else, a member 8 of the public, that wishes to speak about this application? 9 10 MR. FARNELL: Jeff Farnell. 11 I'm the current president of the 12 Orange Lake Homeowners Association. 13 Mr. Moreau had come to us, I 14 want to say three or four months 15 back, with the plan of building that. 16 At that point we were comfortable 17 with the scenario. We thought it was 18 fine, it was all good. We understand 19 the nonconforming preexisting 20 condition of it. I was pleased to 21 find out that whatever changes he 22 made are actually more beneficial for 23 you guys as well. We were already, 24 as a board, excited about his 25 project. We're even happier now that

```
1
     Steven Moreau
 2
            he's made some room to do that, and
 3
            that Althea is good across the street
 4
            as well.
 5
                 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Althea is
            going to be the one that's looking at
 6
 7
            it.
 8
                 MR. FARNELL:
                                Thank you.
 9
                 CHAIRMAN SCALZO:
                                    Thank you.
10
                 Are there any other members of
            the public that wish to speak about
11
12
            this application?
13
                  (No response.)
14
                 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: It does not
15
            appear so.
16
                 In this case I'll look to the
17
            Board. I believe we could close the
18
            public hearing.
19
                 MR. HERMANCE: I'll make a
            motion to close the public hearing.
20
21
                 MR. EBERHART: Second.
22
                 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We have a
23
            motion to close the public hearing
24
            from Mr. Hermance. We have a second
25
            from Mr. Eberhart. All in favor?
```

1 Steven Moreau 2 MR. POLITI: Aye. 3 MR. EBERHART: Aye. 4 MR. HERMANCE: Aye. 5 MR. MASTEN: Aye. 6 MS. REIN: Aye. 7 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Aye. 8 Those opposed? 9 (No response.) 10 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Very good. Counsel, this is a Type 2 11 12 action under SEORA? 13 MR. DONOVAN: Correct, Mr. Chairman. 14 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We're going to go 15 through the area variance criteria and 16 discuss our five factors, the first one 17 being whether or not the benefit can be 18 achieved by other means feasible to the 19 applicant. My opinion is that while 20 it's a challenging site to develop, 21 the applicant has already shown good 22 faith here and gone through a lot 23 line change to add 5 additional feet 24 to one side. I think that's wonderful. 25 Second, if there's an undesirable

2	change in the neighborhood character
3	or a detriment to nearby properties.
4	MS. REIN: No.
5	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: All right.
6	The third, whether the request is
7	substantial. Anything built on any
8	of those lots around Orange Lake is
9	substantial. Is it substantial
10	comparatively speaking to the other
11	lots? Not really.
12	Fourth, whether the request will
13	have adverse physical or environmental
14	effects.
15	MR. POLITI: No.
16	MR. EBERHART: No.
17	MR. HERMANCE: No.
18	MR. MASTEN: No.
19	MS. REIN: No.
20	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: It does not
21	appear so.
22	Fifth, whether the alleged
23	difficulty is self-created, which is
24	relevant but not determinative. To
25	tack on to what Mr. Bockemuhl said

```
1 Steven Moreau
```

	<b>.</b>
2	earlier, this parcel has been vacant
3	for awhile. It's got to be partially
4	self-created by wanting to put a home
5	that's not wider than 10 feet on the
6	lot, although that is relevant but
7	not determinative.
8	Does anyone else have any
9	comments regarding that?
10	(No response.)
11	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Very good.
12	Having gone through the balancing
13	tests of the area variance, does the
14	Board have a motion of some sort?
15	MR. POLITI: I'll make a motion
16	to approve.
17	MR. MASTEN: I'll second it.
18	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Masten
19	jumped all over it. We have a motion
20	to approve from Mr. Politi. We have
21	a second from Mr. Masten. I'm going
22	to roll on that.
23	Ms. Rein?
24	MS. REIN: Yes.
25	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Masten?

1 Steven Moreau 2 MR. MASTEN: Yes. 3 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Hermance? 4 MR. HERMANCE: Yes. 5 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Eberhart? MR. EBERHART: Yes. 6 7 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Politi? 8 MR. POLITI: Yes. 9 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I am affirmative 10 as well. 11 MR. MILLEN: So I understand, since the Planning Board didn't declare itself 12 13 lead agency --14 MR. DONOVAN: It's a Type 2, so 15 we don't need to do anything. This is the end of 16 MR. MILLEN: 17 this process now? 18 MR. DONOVAN: It's not the end. 19 It's the end of this application. 20 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Obviously 21 you're going to end up back in the 22 Building Department. 23 MR. MILLEN: In other words, we don't need to go back to the Planning 24 Board to do anything regarding this? 25

Steven Moreau MR. DONOVAN: That I don't know. I don't know if -- do you have subdivision approval? MR. MATTINA: I would think yes because it's a site plan. MR. MILLEN: I'm sorry. That's correct. I apologize. MR. MOREAU: Thank you guys. (Time noted: 7:58 p.m.) 

1	Steven Moreau
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public
7	for and within the State of New York, do
8	hereby certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not
12	related to any of the parties to this
13	proceeding by blood or by marriage and that
14	I am in no way interested in the outcome of
15	this matter.
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
17	set my hand this 12th day of April 2024.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	MICHELLE CONERO
23	MICHELLE CONERO
24	
25	

1		
2	STATE OF NEW YORK TOWN OF NEWBURGH ZONI	
3		X
4	In the Matter Of	
5	THAI J	IAGON
6		
7	Section 35; Bi	ne, Newburgh lock 3; Lot 20 Zone
8		20116
9		X
10		ate: March 28, 2024
11	Ti	ime: 7:58 p.m.
12	E J	lace: Town of Newburgh Town Hall
13		1496 Route 300 Newburgh, New York
14		
15		ADDIN CONTROL Chairman
16	JZ	ARRIN SCALZO, Chairman AMES EBERHART, JR.
17	JC	REG HERMANCE OHN MASTEN
18		AMES POLITI ONNA REIN
19		
20		AVID DONOVAN, ESQ. OSEPH MATTINA
21		
22	APPLICANT'S REPRESENT	TATIVE: JONATHAN MILLEN
23		X LLE L. CONERO
24	Cour	rt Reporter
25		onero@hotmail.com 5)541-4163

2	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Our next
3	applicant is Thai Jason, 12 Berry
4	Lane. It's a Planning Board referral
5	as well for area variances of lot
6	area, front yard, lot width and the
7	minimum habitable floor area to
8	convert an existing structure to a
9	two-family residence.
10	Siobhan assures me that all the
11	mailings are in order for this
12	application.
13	We have Mr. Millen with us
14	again. Mr. Millen, this one is a
15	little more interesting. This one
16	sent me to the book, and even had me
17	reach out to Counsel, because I'm
18	thinking to myself, this is in an R-1
19	District and they're looking to do
20	something you typically find in an
21	R-2. My question to Counsel was
22	really why wouldn't this be a use
23	variance and not an area variance.
24	However, R-1, with meeting certain
25	criteria you can. It's site plan

1 Thai Jason 2 approval as per the Planning Board. 3 Mm'hm'. MR. MILLEN: 4 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Anyway, 5 Counsel straightened me out where this is not a use variance. 6 7 That being said; Mr. Millen, do 8 you have anything you want to add to this? 9 10 MR. MILLEN: Well, we have 11 another very nonconforming preexisting 12 condition where the house is actually 13 on the property line, let alone not 14 having a setback. 15 There are a number of area 16 variances associated with this. It's 17 pretty straightforward. There are a 18 number of area variances. We're 19 looking to see if we can get 20 acquiescence on building this project. 21 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Okav. Thank 22 you. 23 As I mentioned for a couple of the other applications, we are 24 25 obliged by our positions here to go

25

2 take a look at these things, so I 3 did. The house is right on the 4 right-of-way line. That's kind of 5 crazy. As I mentioned, this sent me to Counsel asking why it wasn't a use 6 7 variance. I am aware that in R-18 accessory apartments are allowed. In 9 this case this would be -- I don't 10 know why this wouldn't fit, and then 11 it kind of occurred to me, the 12 applicant doesn't intend to live 13 there. You have to be owner occupied 14 to have an accessory apartment. When 15 we discuss our factors here, should 16 we get that far, if the benefit can 17 be achieved by other means, well, I 18 suppose if the applicant lived there, 19 you'd only have to look for an 20 accessory apartment. The other thing is, having gone 21 22 through the neighborhood, it appears 23 it is all single family. I can't 24 tell from looking at any tax records

or anything like that if they're

2	rental units there or not. This, in
3	my opinion, changes the character of
4	what's going on there by defining
5	that house as a rental, a two-family
6	rental. Not that that makes it for a
7	bad thing. However, I'm just making
8	that observation.
9	Now I'm going to turn it over
10	to the rest of the Board Members to
11	have their own comments as well. I'm
12	going to start with Mr. Politi.
13	MR. POLITI: Is this the
14	configuration that's already in the
15	building, these units?
16	MR. MILLEN: Yes. Well, what's
17	in the building there are some
18	changes. The interior of the
19	building is very distressed. I'm not
20	absolutely certain if there are any
21	changes to the floor plan relative to
22	what's in the building right now.
23	MR. POLITI: So this could have
24	been
25	MR. MILLEN: I'm not certain.

```
1 Thai Jason
```

2 I'm sorry.

3	MR. POLITI: The request then
4	becomes the rental of the two units.
5	Because it's R-1, a two-family
6	technically is not, by code, allowed.
7	Correct? Am I correct on that?
8	MR. MILLEN: You can have an
9	accessory.
10	MR. POLITI: This doesn't make
11	it because of the 700-foot requirement.
12	The second floor is at 861.
13	MR. MILLEN: Right. That's
14	part of the request.
15	MR. POLITI: I just want to
16	make sure I'm clear.
17	MR. MATTINA: Two families are
18	permitted in the R-1.
19	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: As long as
20	they meet 185-25, or something like
21	that.
22	MR. MATTINA: Right. It is a
23	permitted use, you just have to get
24	Planning Board site plan approval.
25	MS. REIN: And the owner has to

1 Thai Jason 2 live there? 3 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: No, no. 4 That's why we're here. If the owner 5 was to live there, they wouldn't be 6 here. 7 MS. REIN: Right. 8 MR. MATTINA: Yes and no. 9 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Expand on that, 10 Joe. 11 MR. MATTINA: If they were going 12 to go for an accessory apartment, the 13 owner would have to live there. You're 14 only allowed 700 square feet for that 15 accessory apartment, so you need a 16 variance for that. You also need to 17 meet all the requirements for a 18 single family. He would be here for 19 the other setback, for the front, 20 anyway. 21 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: In looking at 22 the configuration of the dwelling, 23 and if you look at what the proposed 24 second floor would be, where bathroom 25 number 2 is on the upper floor, I'm

```
1 Thai Jason
```

2	not sure that he's got the proper
3	height. That could certainly be
4	called an attic. Anyway, that was
5	just me thinking out loud.
6	Donna, I apologize, I
7	misinformed you. They would be back
8	here if they wanted to do an
9	accessory apartment.
10	Mr. Millen, let me back up.
11	This was a Planning Board referral.
12	There's a letter from Dominic
13	Cordisco dated January 24th of '24,
14	"Additionally, this lot has
15	encumbrances from the adjoining lot,"
16	that's an interesting configuration
17	of what's going on here, "including a
18	portion of a shed on the adjoining
19	lot's septic system. The applicant
20	is attempting to resolve these
21	encumbrances, and, if the adjoining
22	owner is agreeable, the project will
23	also involve a lot line change. That
24	lot line change would increase the
25	amount of deficiencies likely for lot

2	area and lot width. Resolving these
3	encumbrances would depend on the
4	willingness of the adjoining lot
5	owner to participate in a lot line
6	change application." Do you have a
7	status on that?
8	MR. MILLEN: Yes. At this
9	point that adjoining owner does not
10	appear to be willing to do anything
11	at all about the situation.
12	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Very good. I
13	see a hand.
14	MR. O'ROURKE: I'm the adjoining
15	owner.
16	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Please state
17	your name for the record.
18	MR. O'ROURKE: Jeffrey O'Rourke.
19	I'm an occupant of 8 Berry Lane. We
20	are a residence on a dead end. It
21	used to be a private road of single-
22	family homes. The house has been in
23	my wife's family since 1972, where
24	our septic system has been since that
25	period of time.

2	I even brought with me a survey
3	from 2008 that shows the septic
4	system being in that location as well.
5	My lot is only 10,000 square
6	feet, 100 by 100. My well is smack
7	dab underneath the middle of my house.
8	I have to have septic within I
9	can't have it within 50 feet of my
10	well, therefore there's nowhere else
11	it can go.
12	I've been willing to make
13	accommodations for the applicant,
14	unfortunately the applicant is not
15	one to get back to me, nor being
16	willing to be willing to make
17	those accommodations equivocal.
18	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I apologize.
19	I jumped on you.
20	MR. O'ROURKE: I apologize for
21	jumping out in front. I figured it
22	was needed.
23	MR. MILLEN: If I could interject,
24	I believe I could be assertive on the
25	part of the applicant to do what's

```
1 Thai Jason
```

2 required, because something has to be 3 done. 4 MR. O'ROURKE: I agree. 5 MR. MILLEN: I don't even think 6 your septic situation -- you must not 7 have a lot of use there because it 8 doesn't even appear to be functioning

9 the way --

10MR. O'ROURKE: It functions11completely fine.

12 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I think what 13 he's saying, Mr. Millen, is when he 14 flushes, nothing else happens.

MR. O'ROURKE: I'm good to go, man. I've lived there for almost five years and it's been in my wife's family a long time.

MR. MILLEN: In other words,
how many people --

21 MR. O'ROURKE: There's three 22 people consistently living there. My 23 wife and I also foster --24 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Gentlemen,

25 believe me when I tell you, I

2	appreciate the dialogue between the
3	two of you. However, Michelle is
4	trying to record all this. It's
5	really not relevant to why we're
6	here.
7	I'm going to open it up to the
8	public appropriately in a few
9	minutes. We may have more comments.
10	Thank you, Mr. Millen. That
11	does shed a different light on the
12	referral letter information from the
13	Planning Board.
14	I'm going to look to the
15	Members of the Board here. Mr. Politi,
16	what are you thinking?
17	MR. POLITI: I know what's
18	rattling around in my brain. If we,
19	as a Board, say affirmatively it's
20	okay to go I guess I'm trying to
21	ask Dave this question. We have
22	these outstanding issues. These are
23	quite functional property lines,
24	functional pieces of the other
25	property, all that occurs with the

```
1 Thai Jason
```

2 Planning Board, we are putting 3 ourselves --

4 MR. DONOVAN: Jim, I think 5 there are a couple issues. One of the issues that I think is important 6 7 to the Board is that if there is a 8 lot line adjustment made, which I 9 think would be in everyone's interest 10 to get the neighbor's property on the 11 neighbor's property, that what 12 Dominic is indicating is there's 13 likely going to be additional variances. I know Mr. Millen loves 14 15 to come here, but I don't know if he 16 wants to come back.

17 My question to you is, do you 18 want to try to address that in some 19 fashion and come back with what you 20 need? Even if the Board decided to 21 give you relief, you may very likely 22 come back. I don't know if the Board 23 is going to be inclined to do that 24 because, you know, you have 25 encroachments.

2	MR. POLITI: That's my
3	hesitancy. You're asking me my
4	hesitancy. You have quite a bit of
5	play that needs to occur for this to
6	function as a separate unit or a
7	separate parcel. I don't know if
8	that puts us in a
9	MR. MILLEN: My point would be
10	that the lot line change would not
11	impact the variances that we're
12	seeking in a significant manner
13	because we've made the lot line
14	changes in such a way as I don't
15	think it would have any impact at
16	all. It's not going to impact the
17	front line. It's not going to impact
18	the side line. I don't see
19	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Minimum lot
20	area.
21	MR. MILLEN: That's about it.
22	In a situation like this, there's no
23	other solution. It's not the
24	applicant's fault or responsibility
25	to be subject to having to change the

```
1 Thai Jason
```

2	lot line and that, in turn, affecting
3	their ability to do what they want to
4	do with the improvements to the
5	property.
6	MR. POLITI: In my short time
7	here, there have been other instances
8	that became quite protracted.
9	MS. REIN: I'd like to ask
10	something, although it may be
11	inconsequential. Is there an issue
12	with the wetlands?
13	MR. MILLEN: No.
14	MS. REIN: On one page it
15	seemed that there was an issue. Here
16	it says, "Mapping information on
17	local and federal wetlands and water
18	bodies is known to be incomplete."
19	Why would that be?
20	MR. MILLEN: That's just part
21	of the agencies involved.
22	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Perhaps I can
23	help.
24	MS. REIN: Please.
25	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: When you fill

```
1 Thai Jason
```

2	these out, it is on the DEC's
3	website. When you pick your lot,
4	that question is answered by the
5	program.
6	MS. REIN: Okay.
7	MR. MILLEN: There aren't any
8	wetland issues affecting this
9	property for what we're doing.
10	MS. REIN: Okay. There's also
11	number 12, "Does the project site
12	contain or is it substantially
13	contiguous to a building, archeological
14	site or district which is listed on
15	the National or State Register of
16	Historic Places?" On the bottom it
17	says, "Is the project site or any
18	portion of it located in or adjacent
19	to an area designated as sensitive
20	for archeological sites of the New
21	York State Historic Preservation
22	Office," and you guys put down yes.
23	MR. MILLEN: Again, you have to
24	understand that this particular
25	process for the short environmental

```
1 Thai Jason
```

25 to it.

2	assessment form, as well as the long,
3	you submit to the agency, the
4	Department of Environmental
5	Conservation, and they answer the key
6	questions for you. You can't change
7	that. They're saying yes and then
8	we're calling and saying where is
9	this thing and then there will be
10	something a mile and-a-half down the
11	road or something that's in their
12	inventory. Most of the time they
13	don't even know why it's there.
14	That's not something we checked as
15	yes.
16	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Keep in mind
17	Ms. Rein, because they're here as a
18	Planning Board referral, they have to
19	go back to the Planning Board. The
20	Planning Board may I know for a
21	fact they read our minutes. If it's
22	perhaps something that did get by
23	them, in our public hearing it would
24	probably bring Pat Hines' attention

MS. REIN: I understand what 2 3 you're saying, sir. It's just that 4 if it's an affirmative, I don't 5 understand why somebody didn't look into it as to why it is an 6 7 affirmative or what to do to change 8 it. 9 MR. MILLEN: I explained that 10 we did. We called the archeological 11 society and asked them to tell us. 12 MS. REIN: You didn't get an 13 answer? 14 MR. MILLEN: We didn't get a 15 definitive answer. They had nothing 16 definitive to tell us about. Again, 17 a lot of the short forms and long 18 forms that we have filled out answer 19 yes to that question and it turns out to be it's some old structure a 20 21 couple miles down the road or 22 something. 23 MS. REIN: Who determines that? 24 MR. MILLEN: I would imagine 25 it's the Department of Environmental

2	Conservation. They have a listing of
3	historical sites.
4	MR. DONOVAN: If I can, also
5	Donna, this is a Type 2 action.
6	MS. REIN: Right. Okay.
7	MR. MILLEN: This comes up very
8	often. There are questions that are
9	answered automatically and you can't
10	change it.
11	MS. REIN: Somebody should
12	change that.
13	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Yeah.
14	MR. MILLEN: I can't actually
15	uncheck that and make it a no. There
16	are a number of items there
17	MS. REIN: There are.
18	MR. MILLEN: that are
19	checked for you already and you don't
20	have a chance or an opportunity to
21	change it.
22	MS. REIN: Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Thank you,
24	Ms. Rein.
25	Mr. Masten, do you have anything?

2	MR. MASTEN: I have nothing.
3	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Hermance?
4	MR. HERMANCE: No. I just
5	don't understand how it could have
6	encroached that far into the
7	neighbor's property to begin with.
8	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: My neighbor's
9	driveway is on my property. It was
10	because it was a family compound, if
11	you will. Nobody really cared.
12	Perhaps that's how it happened.
13	MR. MILLEN: Did you say '72?
14	MR. O'ROURKE: In 1972 the
15	house was built. Your property used
16	to be a converted barn. That's why
17	it's so close to the road.
18	MR. MILLEN: In 1972 they
19	probably weren't paying too much
20	attention to where the lot lines
21	were.
22	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Eberhart?
23	MR. EBERHART: No questions.
24	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Politi,
25	we started with you, but I don't know

1 Thai Jason 2 if you were done. 3 MR. POLTTT: I'm done. 4 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I'd like to 5 open it up to any members of the public that wish to speak about this 6 7 application on Berry Lane. Do we 8 have anybody here that would like to talk about it? 9 10 MR. O'ROURKE: My name is 11 Jeffrey O'Rourke. I own the 12 residence at 8 Berry Lane next door. I do have a few concerns with 13 14 the house. I myself know the 15 condition of the house. There are a 16 lot of things that need to be done to 17 it. 18 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I'm going to 19 stop you there and say that anything 20 that has to do with the structural 21 integrity or other building codes, 22 this is not the forum for that. 23 MR. O'ROURKE: Correct. 24 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Go talk to 25 the quy in the red shirt.

1 Thai Jason 2 MR. O'ROURKE: I'm more worried 3 about the pit in the backyard. 4 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: It must be an 5 old swimming pool. MR. O'ROURKE: There used to be 6 7 a fence around it until the applicant 8 purchased the home. He took the I communicated I need a 9 fence down. 10 fence up there. I have kids that I am 11 responsible for their safety in and 12 out of my home. My pleadings have 13 gone unanswered, as most of my 14 pleadings with the applicant have. Ι 15 would just like that to be taken into 16 consideration. I would put a fence 17 up if I could myself. I would like 18 to put it on the proposed property

19 line.

20 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I'm going to 21 stop you again. This is not the 22 forum for that. It's not holding 23 water. Maybe it used to be. I don't 24 know.

25 MR. O'ROURKE: It is a ten-foot

2 hole, ten feet by ten feet. 3 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: According to 4 the topography, it's only six feet. 5 There could also be another solution, which would be the 6 7 applicant could put up no trespassing 8 signs. Anyway, that's not -- we're 9 not here to discuss safety features 10 around the dwelling or the property. 11 We're here really for, you know, what 12 the application has stated. Your 13 concerns certainly could be heard by the Code Compliance Department. 14 15 MR. O'ROURKE: I appreciate it. 16 That's really all I had. 17 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: You have 18 legitimate concerns, but just 19 understand that's not why we're here. 20 MR. O'ROURKE: Correct. 21 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: While you're 22 standing, do you have any other 23 comments? 24 MR. O'ROURKE: That's all. I 25 think we can hopefully have

1	Thai Jason
2	communication going forward and a
3	solution.
4	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: As I had
5	started this, my observations I
6	live miles from there. Not far. I
7	don't know if you understood what I
8	meant when I said if it was owner
9	occupied they'd be in here for a
10	variance just for an accessory
11	apartment.
12	MR. O'ROURKE: Correct.
13	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Because the
14	applicant is approaching it this way,
15	it is going to be a rental property,
16	MR. O'ROURKE: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: both
18	apartments, if you will.
19	MR. O'ROURKE: Thank you very
20	much. I appreciate your time.
21	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: If you look
22	on the Town of Newburgh, our meeting
23	website, Mr. Millen's contact
24	information is on the map that's in
25	the application.

1 Thai Jason 2 MR. O'ROURKE: Thank you. 3 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Are there any 4 other members of the public that wish 5 to speak about this application? 6 (No response.) 7 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I'm going to 8 look back to the Board. Do you feel 9 as though you have enough information 10 to close the public hearing? If you 11 don't, what concerns do you have that 12 you may want to keep it open? I'm 13 going to look to the Board at this 14 point. 15 Well, we did have comments from 16 the adjoining neighbor. 17 I'll look to the Board. What 18 do we want to do here? 19 MR. EBERHART: I would move to 20 close the public hearing. 21 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Thank you, 22 Mr. Eberhart. Would you call that a 23 motion? 24 MR. EBERHART: Yes, I would. 25 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We have a

```
1
     Thai Jason
 2
            motion to close the public hearing by
 3
            Mr. Eberhart.
 4
                 MR. POLITI: I'll second it.
 5
                 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We have a
            second from Mr. Politi. All in favor?
 6
 7
                 MR. POLITI: Aye.
 8
                 MR. EBERHART:
                                 Aye.
 9
                 MR. HERMANCE: Aye.
10
                 MR. MASTEN: Aye.
11
                 MS. REIN: Aye.
12
                 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Aye.
13
                 Those opposed?
14
                  (No response.)
15
                 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Very good.
16
            The public hearing is now closed.
17
                 This is a Type 2 action under
18
            SEORA?
19
                 MR. DONOVAN: Correct, Mr. Chairman.
20
                 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We're going to go
21
            through the variance criteria and discuss
22
            the five factors we are weighing, the
            first one being whether or not the
23
24
            benefit can be achieved by other means
25
            feasible to the applicant. My position
```

```
1
     Thai Jason
 2
            is this could be avoided if it were
 3
            owner occupied. That's just my
 4
           position on it.
 5
                 Ms. Rein, do you have feelings
 6
            on it or any statements to go on?
 7
                 MS. REIN: No. I agree. I
 8
            agree with you.
 9
                 CHAIRMAN SCALZO:
                                    Mr. Masten,
10
            anything? You don't have to.
11
                 MR. MASTEN:
                               I'll hold off.
12
                 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Hermance?
13
                 MR. HERMANCE: I agree.
14
                 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Eberhart?
15
                 MR. EBERHART: I agree.
16
                 MR. POLITI:
                               I agree.
17
                                    Second, if
                 CHAIRMAN SCALZO:
18
            there's an undesirable change in the
19
            neighborhood character or a detriment
20
            to nearby properties.
21
                 My opinion on that, or my
22
           position on that is the dwelling is a
23
            dwelling and it's been that way.
                                              Ιt
24
           may have been a converted barn, but
```

25 it's been a single-family dwelling

1 Thai Jason 2 for X amount of years at this point. 3 I would say in that case, no. 4 Mr. Politi? 5 MR. POLITI: That's the concern from the neighborhood character 6 7 standpoint. 8 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: That comes 9 later. MR. POLITI: I'll wait until 10 11 then. 12 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Eberhart, 13 any comments on that portion? 14 MR. EBERHART: No. 15 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Hermance? 16 MR. HERMANCE: No. 17 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Masten? 18 MR. MASTEN: No. 19 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Ms. Rein? 20 MS. REIN: No. 21 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Third, 22 whether the request is substantial. 23 You know, we're looking at, again, 24 preexisting nonconforming conditions. 25 I think that criteria doesn't really

```
1
     Thai Jason
 2
            work so much here.
 3
                 The fourth, whether the request
 4
            will have adverse physical or
 5
            environmental effects. We're not
            sure with the old septic system
 6
 7
            issue. I'll say I'm not sure.
 8
                 Ms. Rein, what do you think?
 9
                 MS. REIN:
                             I agree.
10
                 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Masten?
11
                 MR. MASTEN:
                               The same.
12
                 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Hermance?
13
                 MR. HERMANCE: Because you're
14
            proposing to bring two families in
15
            there, there's a concern.
                 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I'm assuming
16
17
            that the proposed septic system for
18
            the dwelling has been designed in
19
            accordance with New York State
20
            standards by a professional engineer.
21
                 MR. MILLEN: Yes, sir.
22
                 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: That's really
23
            not our concern. They've sized it
24
            appropriately based upon percolation
25
            and soils analysis.
```

1 Thai Jason 2 Mr. Eberhart? 3 MR. EBERHART: I have no 4 concerns. 5 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Politi? The fifth, whether the alleged 6 7 difficulty is self- created, which is relevant but not determinative. Of 8 course it's self- created. 9 Mr. Politi, I stepped all over 10 11 you before. Neighborhood character, 12 I said that comes later, that is the 13 second factor. 14 Again, my position is this will 15 impact the character of the 16 neighborhood by becoming a bona fide 17 two-family rental. 18 MR. DONOVAN: Mr. Chairman, if 19 I could. When you first went through that criteria, you indicated that the 20 21 house had always been there so it 22 wouldn't. You're saying it would 23 because it's going from a single 24 family to a two family? 25 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: When talking

```
1 Thai Jason
```

2	about preexisting nonconforming
3	conditions. I take no issue with
4	that because it's there.
5	MR. DONOVAN: I want to make
6	sure your position I'm sorry,
7	Michelle, to talk at the same time.
8	I just want to make sure your
9	position relative to the undesirable
10	change in the neighborhood character
11	or detriment to nearby properties is
12	on the record.
13	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Counsel,
14	that's great. You put me on the
15	spot. Can I say both, it is yes and
16	it is no, if you will?
17	MR. DONOVAN: You can say
18	whatever you want. I prefer you to
19	be clear in what you say. I can't
20	tell you what to say.
21	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: When it comes
22	to the physical features of the lot,
23	I don't believe there's an undesirable.
24	change in the neighborhood character.
25	However, when it comes to what's

2	going to end up being the use of the
3	lot, now can I say that because it's
4	an area variance when I refer to the
5	use, Counsel?
6	MR. DONOVAN: I don't want to
7	put words into your mouth. Could you
8	say that it would be a change in the
9	neighborhood character by having a
10	two family on a lot that is 45,000
11	square feet where the minimum
12	required is 100,000 square feet. You
13	could say that.
14	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I certainly
15	could. It is in a neighborhood of
16	all single-family dwellings.
17	MS. REIN: This is also going
18	to set a precedence.
19	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: That's a
20	rough one. We do have another option
21	here, which is think on it.
22	MR. DONOVAN: You have sixty-two
23	days.
24	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We have sixty-two
25	days here.

1 Thai Jason

2 In having gone through the 3 balancing tests of the area variance, 4 does the Board have a motion of some 5 sort? If I may, I would like to defer 6 7 determination on this. I would like 8 to do a little more digging, go visit 9 the site again. However, that's just 10 my position. There are six of us 11 here this evening, and that's how 12 this works. We are at the tail end 13 of this balancing test. Does the Board have a motion of 14 15 some sort? 16 MR. POLITI: Could the motion 17 be made to defer? 18 MR. DONOVAN: Frequently the

Board, when they do that, they'll make a motion to defer to the April meeting.

22 MR. POLITI: I would like to at 23 least put this out.

CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Keep in mind,Mr. Politi, the public hearing is

2	closed. We could accept comments in
3	writing for up to that date.
4	MR. DONOVAN: You have to make
5	a determination within sixty-two days
6	of tonight after you've closed the
7	public hearing. That's why you read
8	that at the beginning of the meeting.
9	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: It's ten
10	years, Counsel. I just kind of glaze
11	over it.
12	MR. POLITI: I'll put that out
13	on the floor, to defer.
14	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We have a
15	motion to defer to the April meeting
16	from Mr. Politi.
17	MR. HERMANCE: I'll second it.
18	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We have a
19	second from Mr. Hermance. I'm going
20	to roll on that.
21	Ms. Rein?
22	MR. DONOVAN: A yes vote is to
23	defer.
24	MS. REIN: I know. It would be
25	no for me.

1 Thai Jason 2 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: That's why 3 we're a multi-member Board. 4 Mr. Masten? 5 MR. MASTEN: No. CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Okay. Mr. 6 7 Hermance, it was your motion. 8 MR. HERMANCE: Yes. CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Eberhart? 9 10 MR. EBERHART: No. 11 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Politi? 12 MR. POLITI: The vote is to defer? 13 14 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: The vote is 15 to defer. 16 MR. POLITI: Yes. 17 Was that a split? 18 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: My position is I vote to defer. I believe here 19 20 we site at three-three. 21 MR. DONOVAN: The motion 22 doesn't carry. 23 CHAIRMAN CSALZO: The motion does not carry, therefore we need 24 25 another motion.

1 Thai Jason 2 MS. REIN: I'll make a motion 3 to close the public hearing. 4 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: The public 5 hearing is closed. This is making a motion for approval. 6 7 MS. REIN: Okay. That's it. 8 I'll make a motion to approve or 9 disapprove. 10 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: So what you're saying -- Ms. Rein, can you 11 12 clarify your motion, please? 13 MS. REIN: I'll make a motion 14 to approve. 15 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Very good. We have a motion for approval from 16 17 Ms. Rein. 18 MR. EBERHART: I'll second. 19 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We have a 20 second from Mr. Eberhart. We're 21 going to roll on that again. I'm 22 going to start at the other end of 23 the table. 24 Mr. Politi, this is for a 25 motion to approve the variances as

1 Thai Jason 2 presented in the application. 3 MR. POLITI: No. 4 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Eberhart? 5 MR. EBERHART: Yes. CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Hermance? 6 7 MR. HERMANCE: I'll say no. 8 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Masten? 9 MR. MASTEN: No. 10 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Ms. Rein? 11 MS. REIN: No. I didn't know 12 if I had to say to approve or 13 disapprove. 14 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I see exactly 15 what you did. 16 MS. REIN: I was a little 17 confused. 18 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: You made the 19 motion so we could vote on it. 20 I also am negative to that. 21 In this case the variances are 22 not approved. 23 MR. MILLEN: Could I interject 24 for a second? 25 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Certainly.

2	MR. MILLEN: It appears to me
3	that nothing about the variance is
4	going to change, regardless of
5	whether or not we make an agreement
6	to change the lot lines. Would you
7	agree to that?
8	MR. DONOVAN: You can make your
9	statement. I'm not going to let you
10	cross-examine the Board.
11	MR. MILLEN: Okay. It's my
12	opinion that the variances would not
13	be impacted, other than the lot area
14	by accommodating the neighbor.
15	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Well, looking
16	at the structure as it exists, no.
17	None of those dimensions will change.
18	You're absolutely right. However,
19	what we're doing is, should we
20	approve this as a two-family
21	dwelling, we're setting a precedent.
22	MR. DONOVAN: If I can, Mr.
23	Chairman. There's been a vote. The
24	matter is concluded.
25	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Thank you,

1	Thai Jason
2	Counsel, for allowing me to not get
3	myself in trouble.
4	MR. MILLEN: So what I wanted
5	my observation is that it's not
6	the variances, it's whether or not
7	you can have a second tenant there?
8	MR. DONOVAN: I can't let you
9	do this. The Board has already
10	voted. I can't let you poll the jury
11	afterwards.
12	MR. MILLEN: I didn't think
13	they voted.
14	MR. DONOVAN: It was a motion
15	to approve that failed, which is
16	interesting but that's what happened.
17	MR. MILLEN: All right.
18	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Very good.
19	
20	(Time noted: 8:30 p.m.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Thai Jason
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	
6	I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public
7	for and within the State of New York, do
8	hereby certify:
9	That hereinbefore set forth is a true
10	record of the proceedings.
11	I further certify that I am not
12	related to any of the parties to this
13	proceeding by blood or by marriage and that
14	I am in no way interested in the outcome of
15	this matter.
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
17	set my hand this 12th day of April 2024.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	MICHELLE CONERO
23	
24	
25	

1		
2	STATE OF NEW YORK : COUN TOWN OF NEWBURGH ZONING BO	
3	In the Matter of	- $     -$ X
4	IN the Matter of	
5		n
6	RE EQUITY NY, LLO	
7	346 Meadow Avenue, Ne Section 66; Block 1; IB Zone	
8	TP 70116	
9		X
10	Date	March 28, 2024
11	Time: 8	8:30 p.m. Town of Newburgh
12		Town Hall 1496 Route 300
13		Newburgh, New York
14		
15	BOARD MEMBERS: DARRIN SC	AIZO Chairman
16		RHART, JR.
17	JOHN MAST JAMES POL	'EN
18	DONNA REI	
19	ALSO PRESENT: DAVID DON	
20	JOSEPH MA	IOVAN, ESQ. Ittina
21		DALTE NIEMOUNA
22	APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE:	DAVID NIEMOTKO
23		X
24	MICHELLE L. C Court Repor	ter
25	Michelleconero@hot (845)541-41	

Re Equity NY, LLC

1

2 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We are moving 3 on to our next applicant, RE Equity New York, LLC at 346 Meadow Avenue, 4 5 seeking an area variance of 6 increasing the degree of nonconformity 7 to raise the roof on an existing 8 nonconforming single-family structure. 9 Siobhan assures me that all the 10 mailings are in order for this. 11 MR. NIEMOTKO: My name is David 12 Niemotko. Our firm are the architects. We're the firm that prepared the 13 14 plans and are presenting the application. 15 As was mentioned, the property 16 is located at 346 Meadow Avenue in 17 Newburgh. It's in the IB Zone. 18 There are three structures on 19 the site. The owner wants to renovate 20 one of the structures. 21 If we use the plan, the top of 22 the plan is north, and it would be the uppermost building. It's in bad 23 24 condition. We've prepared architectural 25 plans to renovate it and submitted

1 Re Equity NY, LLC

2 them to the Building Department. Of 3 course they referred it to you 4 because it is a preexisting 5 nonconforming condition. According to your code section, any furtherance 6 7 of that would require Zoning Board 8 approval. 9 The type of work that we're 10 proposing is to remove an existing 11 shed roof that's in bad condition, 12 replace it with a gable and have it 13 tie into the existing gable that's 14 there. 15 If you look at the pictures 16 that we provided, you'll see that on 17 the first page, facing the property 18 on the west side, the building is all 19 the way in the middle of the picture, 20 closest to the commercial building. In the second picture it doesn't even 21 22 show up. It's hidden because it more 23 obscures the back of the lot. In the 24 next few pictures you'll see the roof 25 that we want to replace. These

1 Re Equity NY, LLC

2 pictures show the shed roof. Also, 3 there's a lot of damage within the 4 building. That's what's starting 5 this process. We need to repair the walls as portions of the foundation 6 7 have to be worked on. 8 This is the existing gabled 9 roof of the L-shaped building. We'd 10 like to have a gabled roof to tie into it. 11 12 We're not expanding the 13 building. We're not adding on to the 14 area. We're not changing the lot 15 size or the lot coverage. It's the 16 replacement of the roof. MS. REIN: Dave, is this a Type 17 18 2? 19 MR. DONOVAN: Yes. 20 MS. REIN: Thank you. 21 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: If I could, I 22 haven't seen the plans. Again, I'll 23 make sure I mention to Siobhan, when 24 these things happen, plans would be 25 helpful to us. I don't need to see

1 Re Equity NY, LLC 2 them now. You can answer my 3 questions probably quite easily. 4 Is the intent to throw a second 5 story on this? 6 MR. NIEMOTKO: No. 7 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Okay. That's 8 certainly helpful to me. We had visited the site. As an engineer, I 9 10 don't know how you're going to do 11 this without it being a total tear it 12 down to the ground. The stone 13 foundation, unless there's something interior that I didn't see --14 15 MR. NIEMOTKO: It's not in good 16 shape. I mean, the walls have to be 17 shored from the inside, we probably 18 have to do stud alongside stud or 19 additional studs at the mid span. 20 The roof will have to be reframed, 21 load bearing onto the new stud work. 22 The foundation is holding the 23 existing structure. We're not going 24 to be adding -- well, somewhat. 25 There will be portions of the

1	Re Equity NY, LLC
2	foundation that will have to be
3	addressed.
4	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Okay. I'm
5	assuming, Joe, that ends up in your
6	office. They obviously need a design
7	professional to certify that the
8	existing foundation could accommodate
9	what it is they are looking to do?
10	MR. MATTINA: I'm pretty sure
11	he already addressed that in the
12	plans. We have a set of plans
13	already.
14	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Again, I
15	don't have the benefit of having seen
16	them, that's why I'm asking questions
17	that you know the answer to.
18	MR. MATTINA: Yes.
19	MS. REIN: There's no height
20	change. Correct?
21	MR. NIEMOTKO: Correct.
22	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I would
23	assume your gable
24	MR. NIEMOTKO: Actually, that's
25	an interesting question, because the

1

2 shed roof is like this, okay. When 3 we do our gable, it's going to be 4 There would be a height like this. 5 change on this portion of the roof. 6 If the shed is going this way, we're 7 increasing the height this way. The 8 ridge continues straight across. In 9 terms of the line of the existing 10 roof, we're not increasing the 11 height. 12 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Again, this 13 is a very interesting application. Ι 14 had given Jim Politi some homework. 15 He reached out to the Building 16 Department. It's two structures on 17 one lot. This is a very old 18 condition that apparently happened. 19 Good for you guys. You're not going 20 to increase -- no build out at all. 21 The rear corner -- the rear block 22 corner, that would be the north. The 23 building corner is 0.7 feet east of 24 the line. There's no intention of --25 MR. NIEMOTKO: No.

1 Re Equity NY, LLC 2 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Other than 3 because you're going to have to 4 replace the roof there, too. 5 MR. NIEMOTKO: Portions of it, 6 yes. 7 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: The building 8 corner, it's currently seven-tenths. 9 I'm kind of curious how you're going 10 to --11 MR. NIEMOTKO: It's currently 12 what? 13 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Currently 14 seven-tenths of a foot. I'm an 15 engineer, I talk in metric feet. 16 MR. NIEMOTKO: Correct. 17 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I'm kind of 18 curious how you're going to end up --19 this has nothing to do with you. I 20 get caught up in myself. I'm curious how you're going to design a roof 21 22 that's not going to encroach onto the 23 adjoining lot if you have 24 seven-tenths of a foot right now, 25 which ends up being a little less

1 Re Equity NY, LLC 2 than eight inches. 3 MR. NIEMOTKO: You're talking 4 about the roof overhang encroaching 5 past. We have limited the overhang to six inches. 6 7 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: There you 8 have it. Again, like I said, 9 sometimes I get caught up in myself. 10 MR. NIEMOTKO: That's fine. That side of the building is a gable 11 12 already. We're not -- the overhang would not wrap around. It would stop 13 14 at that corner. 15 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Very good. 16 Without the benefit of seeing the 17 plans, you're not going up. You 18 know, when the gable -- you may end 19 up eclipsing fifteen feet, but it 20 doesn't sound like --21 MR. NIEMOTKO: We're going to 22 stay within the zoning code 23 requirement. 24 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I've got 25 nothing.

1 Re Equity NY, LLC 2 Mr. Politi? 3 MR. POLITI: Actually, I had to 4 talk to Joe. On that section you're 5 going down to the footings? 6 MR. NIEMOTKO: For the repair? 7 If need be. We can't do any -- we're 8 looking to do investigative work. 9 But yeah, the stone is separating. 10 We have to go down to the footing, 11 pour new from stone to stone and fill 12 it with new concrete. 13 MR. POLITI: Just curiosity. 14 This middle building, this is a home 15 -- currently a home? 16 MR. NIEMOTKO: Yes. 17 MR. POLITI: Just curiosity. 18 Is this a garage? 19 MR. NIEMOTKO: This here is. 20 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: A CMU building? 21 MR. NIEMOTKO: Yes. You're 22 talking about this building? 23 MR. POLITI: The block building that's in between, it's a garage? 24 25 MR. NIEMOTKO: Yes.

1 Re Equity NY, LLC 2 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: The intent is 3 not to develop that? 4 MR. NIEMOTKO: I have no 5 knowledge. CHAIRMAN SCALZO: That's fine. 6 7 MR. NIEMOTKO: The one building 8 that's before you right now is in bad 9 shape. He's concentrating on trying 10 to get that repaired. We're not 11 proposing anything for any of the 12 other buildings. 13 MR. POLITI: It was built in 14 1945. 15 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: The fact is 16 it's probably going to look much 17 better than it does now. 18 Mr. Eberhart? 19 MR. EBERHART: No questions. CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Hermance? 20 21 MR. HERMANCE: I take it the 22 reason you're not taking it completely 23 down is because a renovation is 24 different than a complete rebuild? 25 MR. NIEMOTKO: Correct.

1 Re Equity NY, LLC 2 MR. HERMANCE: Okay. 3 MR. NIEMOTKO: We have to leave 4 a portion of it there to maintain its 5 status. Absolutely. CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Masten? 6 7 MR. MASTEN: I have nothing. 8 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Ms. Rein? 9 MS. REIN: I'm good. 10 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Okay. At 11 this time we're going to open it up 12 to any members of the public that 13 wish to speak about this application. 14 Please come on up. Since there's 15 not many people behind you, you can 16 probably just face us. 17 MR. CRUDELE: You just wait until 18 you get to be my age. 19 Good evening. My name is Fred 20 Crudele. This is my wife Diane. We own the building across the street at 21 22 341 Meadow Avenue. 23 You've got to forgive me, I'm not really familiar with this 24 25 procedure. We were concerned about

Re Equity NY, LLC
-------------------

2	the final intent. In other words,
3	there's a house there which I believe
4	they're going to rent out. It's a
5	residence.
6	MS. CRUDELE: A single family.
7	MR. CRUDELE: This is the
8	building to the left as you're
9	facing
10	MR. NIEMOTKO: Correct. Do I
11	speak?
12	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Absolutely.
13	We'll entertain some questions
14	regarding that. The applicant is
15	proposing there are three
16	structures on the lot. The house
17	that looks like a house,
18	MR. CRUDELE: Right.
19	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: the big
20	square building that looks like a
21	garage that only has one door on it,
22	and then the building that's directly
23	to the left of that that is
24	contiguous or the closest one to the
25	lot where the commercial building is.

1 Re Equity NY, LLC 2 MS. CRUDELE: What is that used 3 for? 4 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Apparently 5 this is a preexisting condition that 6 at one point was used as living 7 quarters for folks. 8 MS. CRUDELE: It would be a 9 single family? CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I'm going to 10 11 look to Joe. There are multiple 12 dwellings on a single lot, which is 13 unusual but it happens. 14 MR. MATTINA: The two dwelling 15 units, the front one was 1950, that's the single family. The one in 16 17 question was 1945, and that's a 18 single family. It goes back. 19 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Both of them 20 had that status at some point but not 21 the square block garage. 22 MR. CRUDELE: So now it will be 23 the garage included? 24 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: No. That 25 third building is going to remain

1 Re Equity NY, LLC 2 independent. 3 MS. CRUDELE: So how many 4 families will live in the structure 5 we're discussing today? CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We're not 6 7 sure of that. Whatever code would 8 allow. 9 MS. CRUDELE: How do we find 10 out what the code allows? 11 MR. MATTINA: It's listed as a 12 single-family dwelling. CHAIRMAN SCALZO: If it's 13 14 listed as a single-family dwelling, 15 it's going to be a single family. 16 MS. CRUDELE: Of course there 17 are parking issues. What is this to 18 a single-family neighborhood? What 19 impact? 20 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Your zone is 21 the most interesting zone. Of all 22 the applications, yours is pretty 23 interesting. You're in, I think it's 24 TB. 25 MR. NIEMOTKO: IB.

1 Re Equity NY, LLC 2 MS. CRUDELE: I don't know what 3 that means. 4 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Industrial 5 Business. Over the years businesses 6 came in and kind of crept. You're 7 one of a few residences in that area. It's unusual. 8 9 MS. CRUDELE: Right. Of course 10 we're concerned if seven more 11 families live across the street. 12 MR. DONOVAN: If I can. The only reason this is here tonight is 13 14 because of the work on the roof. Τn 15 terms of what's allowed to be there, 16 that's not before the Board. The 17 Board is not allowing additional 18 families or additional occupants. 19 Code Compliance handles that. Right 20 now each structure is approved as -is allowed as a single-family 21 22 dwelling. There's nothing the Board 23 is going to do that's going to change 24 that. It's just the fact that work 25 is going to be done on the roof and

1 Re Equity NY, LLC 2 it requires a variance. 3 MS. CRUDELE: When we saw the 4 invitation for the meeting, it said 5 raise the roof and they didn't 6 identify which building. We thought 7 the two-story home was asking for a 8 third story, but that's not the case. 9 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: That's not 10 the case. 11 MS. CRUDELE: It's not getting 12 higher -- it's not going higher, it's 13 just repair? 14 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: It's repair. 15 Perhaps it could be a little higher. 16 My opinion is the bulk of where 17 they're raising this roof is going to 18 be obscured from your view by the 19 other block building in front of it. 20 MS. CRUDELE: Right. But is it 21 a whole house up there? 22 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: It's only to 23 replace the roof. He's not putting a 24 second story on it. 25 MS. CRUDELE: How many bathrooms?

1 Re Equity NY, LLC 2 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We're not 3 here to talk about bathrooms. We're 4 just giving them consideration for 5 the variances that they're requesting. 6 MS. CRUDELE: Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Thank you. 8 Are there any other members of the public that wish to speak about 9 10 this application? 11 (No response.) 12 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: It appears 13 not. I'll look to the Board for a 14 15 motion to close the public hearing. MR. MASTEN: I'll make a motion 16 17 to close the public hearing. 18 MS. REIN: I'll second it. 19 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Down that end 20 of the table. Mr. Masten made the 21 motion and Ms. Rein seconded it. All 22 in favor? 23 MR. POLITI: Aye. 24 MR. EBERHART: Aye. 25 MR. HERMANCE: Aye.

1 Re Equity NY, LLC 2 MR. MASTEN: Aye. 3 MS. REIN: Aye. 4 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Aye. 5 Those opposed? 6 (No response.) 7 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Very good. 8 It's a Type 2 action under 9 SEQRA. 10 We are going to roll through the five factors, the first one being 11 12 whether or not the benefit can be 13 achieved by other means feasible to 14 the applicant. No. He's got to 15 repair it, once he gets in there to 16 see what's going on. 17 Second, if there's an undesirable 18 change in the neighborhood character 19 or a detriment to nearby properties. 20 No. 21 The third, whether the request 22 is substantial. No. Preexisting 23 nonconforming is what we've got going on with all of the setbacks. 24 25 Fourth, whether the request

1 Re Equity NY, LLC 2 will have adverse physical or 3 environmental effects. 4 MR. POLITI: No. 5 MR. EBERHART: No. 6 MR. HERMANCE: No. 7 MR. MASTEN: No. 8 MS. REIN: No. 9 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: No. 10 Fifth, whether the alleged 11 difficulty is self-created, which is 12 relevant but not determinative. Tt. 13 would appear, from the date of the 14 deed that was supplied with the 15 application, the applicant inherited 16 that building in that condition. Ι 17 would say it's really not self-18 created. 19 Having gone through the 20 balancing tests of the area variance, 21 does the Board have a motion of some 22 sort? 23 MS. REIN: I'll make a motion 24 to approve. 25 MR. HERMANCE: I'll second it.

1 Re Equity NY, LLC 2 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We have a 3 motion for approval from Ms. Rein. 4 We have a second from Mr. Hermance. 5 I'll roll on that. 6 Mr. Politi? 7 MR. POLITI: Yes. CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Eberhart? 8 9 MR. EBERHART: Yes. 10 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Hermance? 11 MR. HERMANCE: Yes. 12 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Masten? 13 MR. MASTEN: Yes. 14 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Ms. Rein? 15 MS. REIN: Yes. 16 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I am 17 affirmative as well. The variance is approved. 18 19 MR. NIEMOTKO: Thank you very 20 much, everyone. 21 (Time noted: 8:45 p.m.) 22 23 24 25

Re Equity NY, LLC CERTIFICATION I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That hereinbefore set forth is a true record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this proceeding by blood or by marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 12th day of April 2024. MICHELLE CONERO 

STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS \_\_\_\_\_\_ X In the Matter of

In the Matter of 4 5 5450 ROUTE 9W, LLC 6 5430 & 5450 Route 9W, Newburgh Section 9; Block 1; Lots 53.1 & 13 7 B Zone 8 - - - - - - - - - - - - X 9 10 Date: March 28, 2024 Time: 8:45 p.m. Place: Town of Newburgh 11 12 Town Hall 1496 Route 300 13 Newburgh, New York 14 15 BOARD MEMBERS: DARRIN SCALZO, Chairman JAMES EBERHART, JR. 16 GREG HERMANCE JOHN MASTEN 17 JAMES POLITI DONNA REIN 18 19 ALSO PRESENT: DAVID DONOVAN, ESQ. JOSEPH MATTINA 20 21 APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: STANLEY SCHUTZMAN, ANTHONY GUCCIONE & UMBERTO BALDINUCCI 22 23 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - X MICHELLE L. CONERO 24 Court Reporter Michelleconero@hotmail.com 25 (845)541-4163

1

2

1 5450 Route 9W, LLC

2 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Held open 3 from the February 22nd meeting, we 4 have 5450 Route 9W, LLC, which was a 5 Planning Board referral for area 6 variances of the rear yard, setback 7 of the canopy to a State highway, 8 minimum off-street parking and the buffer between a B and an R-3 Zone. 9 You folks have been waiting 10 11 patiently. He even brought his own 12 easel. This is great. He doesn't 13 want to be like Mr. Moreau and hold 14 it himself. 15 Gentlemen, you're going to have 16 to indulge me because I wasn't here 17 for your initial presentation and I 18 wish I was. I'm going to ask that 19 you go ahead and enlighten me. I've 20 been to the site. I've seen it. 21 I've been a Newburgh quy my whole 22 life, so I know exactly what was 23 there through the years. If you 24 could enlighten me on what we're 25 doing.

1 5450 Route 9W, LLC

25

2 MR. SCHUTZMAN: Good evening. 3 My name is Stanley Schutzman. I'm a 4 local attorney. With me today I have 5 Anthony Guccione and Umberto 6 Baldinucci who are representatives of 7 JMC Engineering which is the 8 applicant's engineering contractor. Prior to submitting the --9 10 we're here on a Planning Board 11 Prior to submitting this referral. 12 variance application, one of the 13 items in the Planning Board referral 14 we took care of as a matter of good 15 faith. We redesigned the project 16 before presenting it to you. The 17 issue of off-street parking was 18 eliminated by reducing the size of 19 the building and reconfiguring the 20 premises. 21 I'll ask Anthony to just give a 22 little thirty-second speech on 23 exactly what the building plan is, 24 and then we can go through the

Planning Board referral letter as

1 5450 Route 9W, LLC

well as my submittal letter of 2 February 5th of 2024 which detailed 3 4 the applicant's position with respect 5 to these matters. 6 MR. GUCCIONE: Good evening. 7 My name is Anthony Guccione with JMC. 8 We're the engineers on the project. 9 This is the current plan that's 10 before the Board. Would you like me 11 to start with the Planning Board and 12 show you the changes or go right to 13 the new plan? 14 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Go right to 15 the new stuff. I don't necessarily 16 need to know what they did. They're 17 a different board. 18 MR. GUCCIONE: Sure. This is 19 the new plan. You can see the site is outlined in red. It's 3.7 acres 20 21 in size. There are currently two 22 lots. The applicant is proposing to 23 merge the two lots into one lot as we 24 see outlined in red. 9W is at the 25 top of the sheet. You can see Oak

1 5450 Route 9W, LLC Street on the left side of the page. 2 3 This is Cortland Drive. 4 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Your north 5 arrow is where? The north arrow 6 MR. GUCCIONE: 7 is here. 9W runs mostly north, but it kind of looks a little east. 8 9 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I missed it 10 in the title block. 11 MR. GUCCIONE: This is in a B, 12 Business, District. 13 You've got two proposed 14 driveways here connecting to 9W. One 15 driveway, the southernmost driveway, 16 is designed to align with the future 17 driveway of Overlook Farms. We're 18 hopeful that the DOT is going to 19 approve a traffic signal at that 20 location. There was previously, as 21 Mr. Schutzman mentioned, a driveway 22 connecting to Oak Street. That 23 required a variance because it's a 24 driveway serving a parking lot with 25 more than ten spaces. That can't be

2	within 150 feet of an intersection.
3	We redesigned the site to eliminate
4	that driveway and the need for that
5	variance. That's one less variance.
6	This building here is a retail
7	building. It's proposed to be 11,550
8	square feet. That was reduced by
9	about 3,000 square feet from the
10	previous plan.
11	The second building is a 3,100
12	square foot convenience store and a
13	1,500 square foot restaurant. Right
14	now they're looking at putting a
15	pizzeria in there. That's the
16	proposed building.
17	Associated with that is a gas
18	canopy and six pumps. That's right
19	in front of the building, right there.
20	There are several variances
21	being requested in association with
22	the project. The first is a rear
23	yard variance required for the
24	buildings. 30 feet is required from
25	the rear property line, which is

2 here. You can see the narrow shape 3 of the site. It really limits where 4 you can put buildings and get parking 5 to support them. The buildings are 6 closer to the property line than the 7 30 feet. 19.5 feet is the setback 8 for the smaller convenience store and 9 18 feet is the proposed setback for 10 the retail. That's the first variance that we're respectfully 11 12 requesting, 30 feet down to 18 and 19.5. 13

14 The second, which we're looking 15 really for an interpretation on, is 16 the canopy. This is a corner lot. 17 As I heard from another application, 18 a corner lot becomes a front yard. 19 Adjacent to Route 9W, which is a 20 State highway, that 40-foot setback 21 bumps up to 60 feet. We are 22 proposing 18.6 feet in the front and 23 31 feet on the side where 40 feet is 24 required on this front yard on 25 Cortland Drive and then 60 feet is

2 required on 9W. That's a building setback. We don't see that the 3 4 building is the canopy. The building 5 is defined in your zoning code as a 6 structure wholly or partially enclosed with exterior walls and a 7 8 roof. Since that doesn't have walls, 9 we didn't see it as a building. We 10 didn't think initially it was subject 11 to a building setback. We'd 12 appreciate an interpretation from 13 your Board on that.

14 Finally, a rear yard buffer is 15 required at the rear of the property 16 adjacent to the residential. In the 17 B, Business, District that we're in, 18 there's a minimum buffer strip table 19 in your zoning ordinance. The buffer 20 needs to be half the required rear 21 yard setback. The rear yard setback 22 is 30 feet, so the buffer would need to be 15 feet. The Planning Board 23 24 attorney went up to 75 feet. We 25 would like an interpretation there as

1 5450 Route 9W, LLC 2 well. 3 I think that pretty much wraps 4 it up. 5 MR. SCHUTZMAN: With respect to 6 the requested interpretation, we're 7 seeking approval for a variance if 8 the interpretation of this Board is 9 consistent with what the Planning 10 Board attorney wrote in his referral 11 letter. 12 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Thank you. 13 Having been to the site, it makes a 14 lot more sense to me when you're 15 going to eliminate the property line 16 between the two. 17 The stream channel itself, are 18 there any buffer requirements for 19 that? 20 MR. GUCCIONE: No buffer 21 requirements. We'll need to get Army 22 Corp approval to relocate a portion 23 of that stream. We're actually going 24 to daylight some of it. Right now 25 there's about 125 feet that's

2 culverts on the site. We're going to 3 reduce that to 80 feet of culvert. 4 It's looked upon favorably by the 5 Army Corp. We will need to get 6 approval for that, but there's not 7 wetlands per se. It's a watercourse. 8 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Is it a 9 categorized stream? 10 MR. GUCCIONE: It's not a class 11 C stream. We have a floodplain 12 consultant, Buddy Jackson, getting 13 involved with the floodplain analysis. 14 There is a floodplain associated with 15 that that we'll have to analyze. We 16 did the same thing across the street 17 at Overlook Farms with the relocation 18 of that stream. It would need a 19 floodplain permit. 20 MR. SCHUTZMAN: I just would 21 like to add that the request for the 22 variance is in the back section. We 23 have submitted to the Board a letter 24 of support from the property owner,

25 Hudson Hills.

5450 Route 9W, LLC

1

2 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We did see 3 that. I don't know if that was 4 mentioned. I wasn't here, as I 5 mentioned, at the last meeting. That is the property owner, it's not the 6 7 individuals that actually live in the 8 complex? The ones that actually look at it? 9 10 MR. SCHUTZMAN: That's right. 11 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Okav. I 12 don't know how many questions you 13 folks asked at the last meeting. Not 14 many? 15 Did you folks close the public 16 hearing on this? 17 MR. DONOVAN: No. We were 18 waiting for the County. 19 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Now you're 20 timed out. 21 MR. DONOVAN: I don't know if 22 you got the report or not. 23 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I'm not sure 24 if we did. That timed out. We're 25 allowed to move forward in this case.

1 5450 Route 9W, LLC 2 Help me out. The proposed 3 restaurant, 1,500 square feet, thirty 4 seats, and a convenience store of 5 3,000 square feet, does that meet the 6 rear yard setback? 7 MR. GUCCIONE: No. That's one 8 of the variances we're requesting. 30 feet is required. We're proposing 9 10 19.5. 11 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: There was a 12 structure there before that didn't 13 need any variances at all. 14 MR. GUCCIONE: There's a 15 structure there now. It was a 16 limousine structure. 17 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: No matter 18 what you did, you couldn't configure 19 it? 20 MR. GUCCIONE: It didn't meet 21 the needs of the applicant. 22 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Meet the 23 needs of the applicant. Okay. 24 The same thing regarding the 25 retail, 11,550, you also need a

1 5450 Route 9W, LLC variance there as well? 2 3 MR. SCHUTZMAN: Yes. 4 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: You couldn't 5 possibly shrink the size of the 6 building, move it up so you wouldn't need a variance? 7 8 MR. GUCCIONE: No. We did 9 shrink that from the previous proposal. It was 3,000 square foot 10 11 bigger. By the time you put a 12 driveway in here -- one of the 13 changes that happened here was Oak 14 Street was used for truck access to 15 the site to get deliveries and such. 16 When we had to remove the Oak Street 17 entrance, we had to reconfigure this 18 to be able to get a truck to back 19 into the loading space. This knuckle 20 was the amount that was stacking and 21 getting into the site to push the 22 building to there. It's really just 23 the driveway and the configuration of 24 the watercourse that really dictated 25 the location for that building. It

gets really narrow if you were to --2 3 it's only 70 feet right now. For 4 retail it becomes really useless if 5 you make it much narrower. You can 6 see the site is really pinched. 7 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: The site is 8 pinched. The site is pinched because 9 of your proposed development. It's 10 going to make its own little handle 11 here. With the development across 12 the street and what's up on the hill, 13 you're going to make your own area 14 that people congregate in. Holy 15 smokes, you're really -- the entire 16 site development, it's substantial. 17 That's just my observation. 18 The amount of parking, was that 19 the required amount of parking spaces? 20 MR. GUCCIONE: We're actually 21 over parked. We need 122 spaces. We 22 have 128 spaces. We meet the parking 23 requirement. CHAIRMAN SCALZO: 24 T didn't mean

25 that in a good way. Perhaps you can

1 5450 Route 9W, LLC 2 green up some space if you lost some. 3 MR. GUCCIONE: We can certainly 4 get rid of the extra six spaces. 5 That's where this revised plan ended If you'd be in favor of six less 6 up. 7 spaces and more green space, we'd be 8 happy --9 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: You're not 10 here for that. 11 MR. GUCCIONE: That's a good 12 observation. It makes sense. 13 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: T also 14 recognize that you're saddled with a 15 very unusually shaped right-of-way. 16 MR. GUCCIONE: Yes. 17 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I know it was a concern of Mr. Politi. 18 19 I'll ask the ridiculous 20 question here. Did you reach out to 21 DOT to see if they needed that area? 22 You could reduce some of your variances by -- although that process 23 24 is --25 MR. GUCCIONE: Yeah, it's a

2	real long process for dealing with
3	DOT. We did the project across the
4	street. We're dealing with them to
5	take some property for a sidewalk, so
6	a land donation to DOT. It's years,
7	literally, to do that.
8	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: The appraisers,
9	they have come and check it out.
10	MR. GUCCIONE: Exactly. We are
11	dealing with that.
12	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: People retire
13	when you're trying to get through.
14	MR. GUCCIONE: You're not mistaken.
15	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I'm friendly
16	with a bunch of DOT folks. I know
17	Sue Stepton left.
18	MR. GUCCIONE: Use of occupancy
19	permits and land donations. It's a
20	long process.
21	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Like I say,
22	you're fitting a whole bunch of stuff
23	ten pounds of stuff in a five-pound
24	bag, like they say.
25	Again, you know what, I would

assume you folks -- this has nothing 2 3 to do with your variance. I would assume with the development, the old 4 5 Par, the newer development behind 6 this and the old Par, this probably 7 does -- would meet the needs of 8 what's going on in the neighborhood. 9 MR. SCHUTZMAN: The applicant 10 feels it fulfills the need with the 11 residential across the street, up the 12 hill. That's kind of what the letter 13 from the neighbors said, that we 14 provide a good service with the gas 15 and pizza. 16 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: The aesthetic 17 portion of it was my concern. You 18 get the property owner that says this 19 is going to be great for my business 20 model, but when it comes to the 21 people that sit on their back decks 22 and they're looking at a building that they weren't looking at before --23 24 MR. GUCCIONE: Economics. You 25 need to get a certain amount of

square footage that makes it 2 3 worthwhile to do the development. 4 It's under 15,000 square feet, all 5 together, of building. CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Counsel, I'm 6 7 going to put you on the spot, or at 8 least check your memory. Do you 9 recall how we treated the canopy for 10 the applicant that was out on 747 and 11 84? 12 MR. DONOVAN: Was that an 13 issue, Joe?

5450 Route 9W, LLC

1

14CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Joe Mattina,15was that an issue there?

16 MR. MATTINA: I don't remember.
17 I think everything was an issue on
18 that site.

19 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Yeah. I agree. 20 MR. DONOVAN: Just looking at 21 the code, the building is defined as 22 a structure wholly or partially 23 enclosed and a roof affording 24 shelters to persons, animals or 25 property. Wholly or partially 1 54

2 enclosed. A structure. If you look 3 at structure, a structure says 4 anything which is constructed, very 5 helpful, or erected which requires a location on land or attachment to 6 7 something having such location, 8 including but not limited to the following, signs or billboards, 9 fences, walls other than those less 10 11 than four feet high, radio and 12 television antennas, pergolas, 13 porches, outdoor bins, tool sheds, 14 carports, equipment and storage buildings or sheds, swimming pools, 15 16 swimming pool filter pads -- I don't 17 know what that is -- tennis courts, 18 doghouses or sheds and children's 19 tree houses -- apparently not adults' 20 tree houses -- clubhouses, dollhouses 21 and playhouses and structures, all of 22 which are over ten feet in height, 23 and then it goes on. It doesn't say 24 gas station canopy. I think, Joe, you fairly 25

1 5450 Route 9W, LLC 2 consistently determined those were 3 structures, though. Correct? 4 MR. MATTINA: Yes. We've 5 issued many variances for them. 17K, I think Cumberland Farms. 6 7 MR. DONOVAN: We've never been 8 asked for the interpretation. I 9 think Joe is correct, you've issued 10 variances and never made a determination. It seems a pretty 11 12 all-encompassing definition of 13 structure. 14 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: With regard 15 to an interpretation, should we 16 consider that to be a structure and 17 you're looking for a variance for the 18 canopy? 19 MR. SCHUTZMAN: That's correct. 20 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: And then the 21 big deal here -- I guess the big deal 22 is the rear buffer. I know we briefly 23 touched on that. Can you help me out 24 and help me understand it a little 25 better?

54

1

5450 Route 9W, LLC

2 MR. GUCCIONE: Sure. The 3 project is in a B Zone. It abuts an 4 R-3 Zoning District at the rear of 5 the property. The Planning Board attorney's letter that is from 6 7 December is saying that there is a 8 75-foot buffer required. However, if 9 you look at the minimum buffer strip 10 table in the zoning district, the 11 buffer depth needs to be equal to 12 half the rear yard setback. Our rear 13 yard setback is 30 feet, so half of 14 that would be 15 feet. We're not 15 sure where he got that 75 feet from. 16 It's our understanding the rear yard 17 buffer should be 15 feet, half of the 18 required 30-foot rear yard setback. 19 We're providing at least 18 feet, and 20 more in some places. We believe we 21 comply, unless we're misinterpreting 22 something. 23 MR. DONOVAN: I think what

24 happened is that Pat Hines, I don't
25 think he was at the meeting, he

2	prepared a letter, as he usually
3	does. He did not identify a variance
4	required for the buffer. He did
5	require the rear yard setback
6	variance. At the meeting Jim
7	Campbell talked about the 75-foot
8	buffer in the R-3. Dominic repeated
9	that in the referral letter. There
10	you have it.
11	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Crystal clear.
12	MR. DONOVAN: Well, typically
13	Pat identifies what the variances
14	are. Joe is going to hate me after
15	tonight's meeting.
16	MS. REIN: That entire area
17	looks like a stuffed sausage.
18	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I think I
19	said it a little different, but I
20	like your interpretation as well.
21	Population growth. It's exponential.
22	What are we thinking? I'm
23	going to go to my far end, Mr.
24	Politi.
25	MR. POLITI: Do you have a

1 5450 Route 9W, LLC 2 potential tenant for the larger 3 building? 4 MR. GUCCIONE: Not yet. 5 MR. POLITI: That whole lot line threw me off a little bit, the 6 7 way it kicked in. I don't know what 8 the reasoning is which forces that down to an 18-foot space. I mean, if 9 10 you straighten that line out, you 11 gain guite a bit. The curb line is 12 still out where it's showing. Right? 13 MR. GUCCIONE: Way out here. 14 You're right, if the property line 15 was more consistent like it typically 16 would be, we wouldn't have that 17 situation. 18 MR. POLITI: You would have a 19 little bit more. 20 MR. GUCCIONE: It's the odd 21 shape of the DOT right-of-way. 22 MR. POLITI: That's the actual 23 DOT? 24 MR. GUCCIONE: That's the 25 right-of-way.

1 5450 Route 9W, LLC 2 That was my question. MR. POLITI: 3 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: It's a head 4 scratcher. 5 MR. POLITI: Just so I understand, 6 that's all green? 7 MR. GUCCIONE: That's all green. 8 That would be landscaping. We asked the DOT if we could do some 9 10 beautification there, some landscaping. 11 The buffer strip, one more time 12 can I go back? I'm looking at the 13 zoning now. The minimum buffer strip 14 requirement table in the zoning, it 15 talks about the districts. TnaB 16 District it says half the minimum 17 rear yard or side yard setback of the 18 yard containing the buffer to a 19 maximum of 50 feet. The 75 would be 20 Interchange Business or Industrial 21 Districts, the 75 feet. There are 22 only three requirements, Industrial, 23 IB and B. We're B. Half the minimum rear yard setback up to 50 feet. 24 25 MR. SCHUTZMAN: Again, if the

2	Board is in agreement with our
3	interpretation of the statutory
4	language, alternatively we're seeking
5	the Board's approval of the variance
6	in that respect.
7	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: You have to
8	bear with me.
9	MR. POLITI: The property
10	across the street, that's already in
11	process?
12	MR. SCHUTZMAN: That has an
13	approval resolution in place.
14	MR. POLITI: That will be more
15	apartments?
16	MR. GUCCIONE: Up there. Correct.
17	We're seeking third-party approvals
18	now, DOT and that.
19	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: As I said many
20	times already, bear with me. I'm
21	going to roll down your table of land
22	use, the chart on your plan, just so
23	all the Board Members can hear.
24	Hopefully it will sink in like it's
25	going to sink in with me.

1 5450 Route 9W, LLC 2 I'm going to start with lot 3 In this case required is area. 4 35,000 or .8 acres. That's not an 5 issue whatsoever. If you guys want to follow 6 7 along, I'm looking at the chart here 8 on the plan, or you could just listen to me because I love to talk. 9 10 We're looking at the lot width requires 100 feet. That's not an 11 12 issue. We far exceed those. 13 Lot depth, 125 is required. We 14 far exceed those existing and 15 proposed. 16 Lot building coverage, the 17 percent required is 40 max. 18 MR. GUCCIONE: That's the max. 19 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Max is 40. 20 What we're saying here is the lot 21 building coverage, you're only saying 22 10? MR. GUCCIONE: 10 percent 23 24 building coverage. This building and 25 this building cover 10 percent of the

1 5450 Route 9W, LLC 2 site. 3 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Joe, does the 4 canopy work into that at all or not? 5 MR. MATTINA: I was reading. 6 Sorry. 7 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: That's all 8 right. The lot building coverage --9 MR. MATTINA: I would say yes. 10 It's not pervious surface. 11 MR. DONOVAN: If you decide 12 that it's a building --13 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: When you say 14 lot coverage here, and you just said 15 pervious surface, we're not 16 considering all the parking areas? 17 MR. GUCCIONE: That's two lines 18 down. 19 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Thank you. 20 All right. So I understand now with the 10 percent as opposed to the 21 22 maximum of 40. 23 Building height 35, you're not 24 going to exceed that. 25 Lot surface. Required or

2	maximum is 80 percent and you're only
3	at 62. That's that big back triangle
4	that's saving you.
5	MR. GUCCIONE: That wooded area.
6	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: That's why
7	you're not going to disturb it, plus
8	the neighbor probably prefers that.
9	MR. GUCCIONE: The previous
10	plan went further into that and had
11	more walls and disturbance. We
12	limited the disturbance in the back
13	and reduced the walls significantly.
14	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I'm following
15	along now. Not from my tree house.
16	A front building setback of 60
17	and 40. Existing is 61.
18	MR. GUCCIONE: That was before
19	the determination of the canopy as a
20	building. We saw the canopy as a
21	structure but not as a building. A
22	building is a structure but a
23	structure is not necessarily a
24	building. I guess that's gone, so
25	that has to change.

1 5450 Route 9W, LLC 2 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Then you have 3 the parenthetic which you described 4 in the note below. 5 Rear setback, we have 30 minimum. Again, you say existing 29. 6 7 Proposed 18 with parenthetic 4. 8 Variance required for building setback. Ding, ding, ding. That's 9 10 the first one that really catches my 11 attention. 12 For rear building setback we're looking for a 12-foot variance. 13 14 Do you guys all follow along 15 with me? 16 MS. REIN: Yes. 17 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I'm sorry I'm 18 talking through it, but it's helping 19 me. 20 Side building setback, you have 21 a 25 minimum. You far exceed those. 22 Going down to the parking 23 summary. Quite honestly, that really 24 doesn't matter to us. We're not here 25 to talk about that.

1 5450 Route 9W, LLC 2 The big deal is the 3 interpretation of the canopy and the 4 rear yard setback. The interpretation 5 of code with that buffer, it's not hanging with me, if you know what I 6 7 mean. I'm not thinking hard about it. I think that that 8 MR. DONOVAN: 9 may -- that may have been given in 10 error by the Planning Board. Ιt 11 appears to me, since I read verbatim 12 from the code, that's what the code 13 says. 14 MR. SCHUTZMAN: They may have 15 misinterpreted it was in the B Zone, 16 which is what we suspect. 17 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: So now back 18 to, you're proposing a 12-foot rear 19 yard variance. How much of that 20 would be now a buffer, or there won't 21 be one? 22 MR. GUCCIONE: All of that 18 23 feet would be a buffer. 24 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: All of that 25 18 feet?

2 MR. GUCCIONE: And more by the 3 retail building. This is 18 feet here, this little piece here. 4 We 5 have plenty here, obviously. Over here we've got 19.5 feet from the 6 7 building to the back. 8 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: That's one 9 more Forsythia bush. 10 MR. GUCCIONE: Exactly. 11 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Did I just 12 knock this down to interpretation of 13 the canopy, rear yard relief of 12 feet, and then, like I say, I agree 14 15 with Counsel here, the interpretation 16 of the buffer might have been 17 misdirected. Really we're only here 18 for two things. 19 MR. SCHUTZMAN: That's our view. 20 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: This is a 21 really big package. 22 MR. DONOVAN: You can hear from 23 the public. If you're just inclined 24 to vote on the area variance, we'd 25 have to rule on the interpretation.

2	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Very good. I
3	appreciate you guys explaining things
4	to me a little better.
5	The public hearing is still
6	open. Because we didn't get County
7	approval last month, they timed out,
8	which means if the County doesn't
9	respond within thirty days, we can
10	act without County input.
11	Therefore, of the two gentlemen
12	sitting behind the John Meyer
13	Consulting representatives
14	MR. ANARUMO: Tom Anarumo, 16
15	Alta Drive, right off Oak Street.
16	I've been there over thirty years.
17	Mr. Scalzo, I would like to say
18	something about what you said,
19	respectfully, about the people on the
20	deck, they're going to look at the
21	building. Orchard Hills is high up.
22	They're going to be looking way over
23	the building. Middlehope has really
24	been, to me, over the last thirty
25	years not good. This developer is

2 building and beautifying that whole 3 area. I know a lot of people in 4 Orchard Hills are really looking for 5 this development. It's going to be easy to get in and out with the 6 7 light. All that makes a difference. 8 I'm really for it. I live right around the corner. 9 10 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I know 11 exactly where --12 MR. ANARUMO: Alta Drive. CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I think my 13 14 doctor lives there. 15 MR. ANARUMO: Dr. Reed, my 16 friend. He knows about it, too. I 17 know Mr. Donovan for years. 18 Thank you for your time. Have 19 a nice holiday, everybody. I 20 appreciate it. 21 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We have a 22 member of the public in support of the project. Very good. 23 24 Anyone else from the public? 25 You're shaking your head no. Just

1 5450 Route 9W, LLC 2 taking notes. 3 In this case I'll look to the 4 Board for a motion to close the 5 public hearing. MR. POLITI: I'll make the 6 7 motion. 8 MR. EBERHART: Second. 9 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We have a 10 motion from Mr. Politi. We have a 11 second from Mr. Eberhart. All in 12 favor? 13 MR. POLITIL: Aye. 14 MR. EBERHART: Aye. 15 MR. HERMANCE: Aye. 16 MR. MASTEN: Aye. 17 MS. REIN: Aye. 18 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Aye. 19 Those opposed? 20 (No response.) CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I only do 21 22 those opposed for Mr. Fetter who is 23 not even here. 24 In this case, now we're going 25 to move through the -- is this a Type

1 5450 Route 9W, LLC 2 2 action or Unlisted? 3 MR. DONOVAN: I believe, Stan, 4 this is an Unlisted action. 5 MR. SCHUTZMAN: Again, it was 6 reported as a Type 2 because somebody 7 said that this was in an agricultural 8 zone, which it's not. 9 MR. GUCCIONE: Type 1. That 10 was in Pat Hines' memo. He said 11 agricultural. 12 MR. DONOVAN: I wouldn't 13 characterize this as a Type 1. I 14 quess the question in my mind is 15 whether or not it's an Unlisted 16 action because of the -- now we've 17 taken away a number of the variances. 18 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: All we're 19 looking at is rear yard, so it's a 20 Type 2? 21 MR. DONOVAN: Type 2. 22 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: It's a Type 23 2. How are we applying this to the 24 canopy? We're going to call the 25 canopy a structure?

1 5450 Route 9W, LLC 2 MR. DONOVAN: We aren't going 3 to do anything. If you wanted to --4 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I'm looking 5 for some guidance. 6 MR. DONOVAN: You can qo 7 through the five-part balancing tests and ask the Board to vote on the 8 9 variances, including the canopy, and 10 that would address the issue. 11 MS. REIN: You're putting it in 12 as a Type 2? CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Because it's 13 14 dimensional, what we're looking at here. 15 Before we get started with this, 16 would the Board prefer to act on all 17 of these at once or do you want to 18 separate them out with the rear yard 19 and canopy, should we decide that? 20 My position is I really don't 21 think there's that much to worry 22 about if we were to lump them together. 23 MS. REIN: I think we should do it all at once. 24 25 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: You're tired.

1 5450 Route 9W, LLC 2 I can tell. 3 Besides that, it's MS. REIN: 4 not going to make a bit of difference. 5 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Okay then. It's a Type 2 action under SEQRA. 6 7 We're going to discuss the five 8 factors we're weighing, the first one being whether or not the benefit can 9 10 be achieved by other means feasible 11 to the applicant. 12 MS. REIN: No. CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Not the 13 14 benefit, no. They could shrink the 15 buildings, but then it --16 MS. REIN: It wouldn't look 17 like a stuffed sausage. 18 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: If they 19 shrink it, it would be on the back 20 side so you wouldn't see it. 21 Second, if there's an undesirable 22 change in the neighborhood character 23 or a detriment to nearby properties. 24 We heard testimony from a not so 25 contiguous property, an interested

```
1
     5450 Route 9W, LLC
 2
           property owner that he feels as
 3
            though it's a very desirable change.
 4
                 MS. REIN: We haven't heard
 5
            from any of the people that live at
            Orchard Landing? Any of the tenants
 6
 7
            there?
 8
                 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: No.
                                         We have
            one from the --
 9
10
                 MS. REIN: From the owner.
11
                 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I'll tell you
12
            why. If they're all rental units, if
13
            they're not individually owned, all
14
            they send it to is the owner.
15
                 MR. DONOVAN: It's posted on
16
            the website and posted in the
17
            newspaper.
18
                 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Correct.
19
                 MR. SCHUTZMAN: And on the sign.
20
                 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: If somebody
21
            drove by, unless the wind knocked it
22
            down, which we had.
23
                 Again, we've had testimony here
24
            that the neighborhood character would
```

25 actually be improved.

1 5450 Route 9W, LLC The third, whether the request 2 3 is substantial. Well, when you're 4 talking about the variances themselves --5 MS. REIN: They're not. 6 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: -- they're 7 really not. When you look at the 8 site as a whole, there's your stuffed 9 sausage, Donna. 10 Gentlemen at this end of the table? 11 12 Fourth, whether the request 13 will have adverse physical or environmental effects. 14 The 15 applicant's representative indicated 16 that any requirements that the Army 17 Corp of Engineers would have for the 18 movement of the stream would be 19 addressed prior to this moving 20 forward, though that's not what 21 they're here for talking to us. 22 The fifth, whether the alleged 23 difficulty is self-created, which is 24 relevant but not determinative. Of course it's self-created because the 25

1 5450 Route 9W, LLC 2 previous building didn't need these 3 variances. Again, relative but not 4 determinative. 5 If the Board approves, it shall 6 grant the minimum variance necessary 7 and may impose reasonable conditions. 8 Having gone through the 9 balancing tests of the area variance, 10 what is the pleasure of the Board? 11 MR. POLITI: If you're 12 structuring them all together, how should that be framed? We're doing 13 14 all of the variances requested? 15 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: As they 16 appear in the application. 17 MR. DONOVAN: So by approving 18 the variance, you'll approve all the 19 variances being requested. 20 MR. POLITI: I would make that 21 motion. 22 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: A motion to 23 approve? 24 MR. POLITI: To approve. 25 MR. HERMANCE: I'll second it.

1 5450 Route 9W, LLC 2 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We have a 3 motion for approval from Mr. Politi. 4 We have a second from Mr. Hermance. 5 I'm going to roll on this starting at 6 this end of the table. 7 Ms. Rein? 8 MS. REIN: Yes. 9 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Masten? 10 MR. MASTEN: Yes. 11 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Hermance? 12 MR. HERMANCE: Yes. CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Eberhart? 13 14 MR. EBERHART: Yes. 15 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Mr. Politi? 16 MR. POLITI: Yes. CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I'm affirmative 17 18 as well. 19 MR. SCHUTZMAN: Thank you all. 20 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Thank you. 21 22 (Time noted: 9:23 p.m.) 23 24 25

5450 Route 9W, LLC CERTIFICATION I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That hereinbefore set forth is a true record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this proceeding by blood or by marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 12th day of April 2024. MICHELLE CONERO 

2 STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE TOWN OF NEWBURGH ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS 3 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - X In the Matter of 4 5 CROSSCUT CONSTRUCTION 6 70 Frozen Ridge Road, Newburgh 7 Request for a Six-Month Extension 8 9 - - - - - - - - - - X 10 Date: March 28, 2024 9:23 p.m. 11 Time: Place: Town of Newburgh 12 Town Hall 1496 Route 300 13 Newburgh, New York 14 15 DARRIN SCALZO, Chairman BOARD MEMBERS: 16 JAMES EBERHART, JR. GREG HERMANCE 17 JOHN MASTEN JAMES POLITI 18 DONNA REIN 19 DAVID DONOVAN, ESQ. ALSO PRESENT: 20 JOSEPH MATTINA 21 22 - - - - - - - - - X 23 MICHELLE L. CONERO 24 Court Reporter Michelleconero@hotmail.com 25 (845)541 - 4163

1

Cros	scut	Cons	t.ru	ctio	n

CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We have other 2 3 Board business. We have Crosscut Construction at 70 Frozen Ridge Road. 4 5 They sent us a letter to request a 6 six-month extension for a previously 7 approved variance. I don't know how 8 many -- well, Mr. Masten was here for that. Maybe Mr. Hermance. Maybe Mr. 9 10 Eberhart. It's on Frozen Ridge Road. 11 The fellow is slow on the construction 12 of this house. 13 Let me put it into where you 14 might remember. Mr. Hughes, Animal 15 Hughes came in. He actually lived on 16 a property behind it and they shared 17 a well. 18 MR. EBERHART: I remember. 19 MS. REIN: Can I ask you 20 something? I don't understand. Ιf 21 that was pre-approved --22 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: They need to 23 start or they need to get moving and 24 they haven't. 25 MS. REIN: Is there a certain

1 Crosscut Construction amount of time that once it's 2 3 approved --4 MR. DONOVAN: Six months. 5 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Six months. 6 I don't know how lumber prices are, 7 if they're down from COVID. 8 MR. MASTEN: They're still up 9 there. CHAIRMAN SCALZO: The letter is 10 to request a six month extension. I 11 don't have any issue with that 12 13 myself. Anyone else? 14 MR. POLITI: No. 15 MR. EBERHART: No. 16 MR. HERMANCE: No. 17 MR. MASTEN: No. 18 MS. REIN: No. 19 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I'll look to 20 the Board for a motion to approve the 21 six-month extension. 22 MR. MASTEN: I'll make the 23 motion. 24 MS. REIN: Second. 25 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We have a

1 Crosscut Construction 2 motion from Mr. Masten. We have a 3 second from Ms. Rein. All in favor? 4 MR. POLITI: Aye. 5 MR. EBERHART: Aye. 6 MR. HERMANCE: Aye. 7 MR. MASTEN: Aye. 8 MS. REIN: Aye. 9 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Aye. 10 Very good. That concludes our 11 Board business. 12 If everyone has read the 13 meeting minutes from February and 14 they would like to make a motion to 15 approve the meeting minutes from 16 February. 17 MR. POLITI: I'll make the 18 motion. MR. EBERHART: Second. 19 20 CHAIRMAN SCALZO: We had a 21 motion from Mr. Politi and a second 22 from Mr. Eberhart. All in favor? 23 MR. POLITI: Aye. 24 MR. EBERHART: Aye. 25 MR. HERMANCE: Aye.

1	Crosscut Construction
2	MR. MASTEN: Aye.
3	MS. REIN: Aye.
4	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I'll abstain
5	because I wasn't here.
6	I would say that concludes the
7	meeting for the evening. A motion to
8	adjourn?
9	MS. REIN: I'll make a motion
10	to adjourn.
11	MR. MASTEN: Second.
12	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: I have a
13	motion from Ms. Rein and a second
14	from Mr. Masten. All in favor?
15	MR. POLITIL: Aye.
16	MR. EBERHART: Aye.
17	MR. HERMANCE: Aye.
18	MR. MASTEN: Aye.
19	MS. REIN: Aye.
20	CHAIRMAN SCALZO: Aye.
21	
22	(Time noted: 9:26 p.m.)
23	
24	
25	

Crosscut Construction CERTIFICATION I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify: That hereinbefore set forth is a true record of the proceedings. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this proceeding by blood or by marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 12th day of April 2024. MICHELLE CONERO